

Release Number: 202319018 Release Date: 5/12/2023

UIL Code: 501.03-00

Date:

November 15, 2022

Taxpayer ID number (last 4 digits):

Form:

Tax periods ended:

Person to contact:

Name: ID number: Telephone:

Fax:

Last day to file petition with United States

Tax Court:

February 13, 2023

#### CERTIFIED MAIL - Return Receipt Requested

Dear

#### Why we are sending you this letter

This is a final determination that you don't qualify for exemption from federal income tax under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 501(a) as an organization described in IRC Section 501(c)(3), effective Your determination letter dated is revoked.

Our adverse determination as to your exempt status was made for the following reasons: Organizations described in IRC Section 501(c)(3) and exempt under IRC Section 501(a) must be both organized and operated exclusively for exempt purposes. You have not demonstrated that you are organized for exempt purposes within the meaning of IRC Section 501(c)(3). Additionally, you have not demonstrated that you are operated for exempt purposes within the meaning of IRC Section 501(c)(3).

Organizations that are not exempt under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 501 generally are required to file federal income tax returns and pay tax, where applicable. For further instructions, forms and information please visit **IRS.gov**.

Contributions to your organization are no longer deductible under IRC Section 170.

#### What you must do if you disagree with this determination

If you want to contest our final determination, you have 90 days from the date this determination letter was mailed to you to file a petition or complaint in one of the three federal courts listed below.

#### How to file your action for declaratory judgment

If you decide to contest this determination, you can file an action for declaratory judgment under the provisions of Section 7428 of the Code in either:

- The United States Tax Court,
- The United States Court of Federal Claims, or
- The United States District Court for the District of Columbia

You must file a petition or complaint in one of these three courts within 90 days from the date we mailed this determination letter to you. You can download a fillable petition or complaint form and get information about filing at each respective court's website listed below or by contacting the Office of the Clerk of the Court at one of the addresses below. Be sure to include a copy of this letter and any attachments and the applicable filing fee with the petition or complaint.

Letter 6337 (Rev. 8-2022) Catalog Number 74808E You can eFile your completed U.S. Tax Court petition by following the instructions and user guides available on the Tax Court website at **ustaxcourt.gov/dawson.html**. You will need to register for a DAWSON account to do so. You may also file your petition at the address below:

United States Tax Court 400 Second Street, NW Washington, DC 20217 ustaxcourt.gov

The websites of the U.S. Court of Federal Claims and the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia contain instructions about how to file your completed complaint electronically. You may also file your complaint at one of the addresses below:

US Court of Federal Claims 717 Madison Place, NW Washington, DC 20439 uscfc.uscourts.gov

US District Court for the District of Columbia 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001 dcd.uscourts.gov

Processing of income tax returns and assessments of any taxes due will not be delayed if you file a petition for declaratory judgment under IRC Section 7428.

We'll notify the appropriate state officials (as permitted by law) of our determination that you aren't an organization described in IRC Section 501(c)(3).

#### Information about the IRS Taxpayer Advocate Service

The IRS office whose phone number appears at the top of the notice can best address and access your tax information and help get you answers. However, you may be eligible for free help from the Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS) if you can't resolve your tax problem with the IRS, or you believe an IRS procedure just isn't working as it should. TAS is an independent organization within the IRS that helps taxpayers and protects taxpayer rights. Contact your local Taxpayer Advocate Office at:

Internal Revenue Service Taxpayer Advocate Office

Or call TAS at 877-777-4778. For more information about TAS and your rights under the Taxpayer Bill of Rights, go to **taxpayeradvocate.IRS.gov**. Do not send your federal court pleading to the TAS address listed above. Use the applicable federal court address provided earlier in the letter. Contacting TAS does not extend the time to file an action for declaratory judgment.

#### Where you can find more information

Enclosed are Publication 1, Your Rights as a Taxpayer, and Publication 594, The IRS Collection Process, for more comprehensive information.

Find tax forms or publications by visiting IRS.gov/forms or calling 800-TAX-FORM (800-829-3676). If you have questions, you can call the person shown at the top of this letter.

If you prefer to write, use the address shown at the top of this letter. Include your telephone number, the best time to call, and a copy of this letter.

You may fax your documents to the fax number shown above, using either a fax machine or online fax service. Protect yourself when sending digital data by understanding the fax service's privacy and security policies.

Keep the original letter for your records.

Sincerely,

Lynn A. Brinkley

Acting Director, Exempt Organizations Examinations

Enclosures: Publication 1 Publication 594 Publication 892



Date:

01/21/2022 Taxpayer ID number:

Form:

Tax periods ended:

Person to contact:

Name:

ID number:

Telephone:

Fax:

Address:

Manager's contact information:

Name:

ID number:

Telephone:

Response due date:

02/20/2022

#### **CERTIFIED MAIL - Return Receipt Requested**

Dear

#### Why you're receiving this letter

We enclosed a copy of our audit report, Form 886-A, Explanation of Items, explaining that we propose to revoke your tax-exempt status as an organization described in Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 501(c)(3).

#### If you agree

If you haven't already, please sign the enclosed Form 6018, Consent to Proposed Action, and return it to the contact person shown at the top of this letter. We'll issue a final adverse letter determining that you aren't an organization described in IRC Section 501(c)(3) for the periods above.

After we issue the final adverse determination letter, we'll announce that your organization is no longer eligible to receive tax deductible contributions under IRC Section 170.

#### If you disagree

- 1. Request a meeting or telephone conference with the manager shown at the top of this letter
- 2. Send any information you want us to consider.

3. File a protest with the IRS Appeals Office. If you request a meeting with the manager or send additional information as stated in 1 and 2, above, you'll still be able to file a protest with IRS Appeals Office after the meeting or after we consider the information.

The IRS Appeals Office is independent of the Exempt Organizations division and resolves most disputes informally. If you file a protest, the auditing agent may ask you to sign a consent to extend the period of limitations for assessing tax. This is to allow the IRS Appeals Office enough time to consider your case. For your protest to be valid, it must contain certain specific information, including a statement of the facts, applicable law, and arguments in support of your position. For specific information needed for a valid protest, refer to Publication 892, How to Appeal an IRS Determination on Tax-Exempt Status.

Fast Track Mediation (FTM) referred to in Publication 3498, The Examination Process, generally doesn't apply now that we've issued this letter.

4. Request technical advice from the Office of Associate Chief Counsel (Tax Exempt Government Entities) if you feel the issue hasn't been addressed in published precedent or has been treated inconsistently by the IRS.

If you're considering requesting technical advice, contact the person shown at the top of this letter. If you disagree with the technical advice decision, you will be able to appeal to the IRS Appeals Office, as explained above. A decision made in a technical advice memorandum, however, generally is final and binding on Appeals.

#### If we don't hear from you

If you don't respond to this proposal within 30 calendar days from the date of this letter, we'll issue a final adverse determination letter.

#### Contacting the Taxpayer Advocate Office is a taxpayer right

The Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS) is an independent organization within the IRS that can help protect your taxpayer rights. TAS can offer you help if your tax problem is causing a hardship, or you've tried but haven't been able to resolve your problem with the IRS. If you qualify for TAS assistance, which is always free, TAS will do everything possible to help you. Visit <a href="https://www.taxpayeradvocate.irs.gov">www.taxpayeradvocate.irs.gov</a> or call 877-777-4778.

#### For additional information

You can get any of the forms and publications mentioned in this letter by visiting our website at <a href="https://www.irs.gov/forms-pubs">www.irs.gov/forms-pubs</a> or by calling 800-TAX-FORM (800-829-3676).

If you have questions, you can contact the person shown at the top of this letter.

Sincerely,

Karen T. Hood Date: 2022.01.21 10:04:49 -05:00'

for

Sean E. O'Reilly Director, Exempt Organizations Examinations

Enclosures: Form 886-A Form 6018 Form 4621-A Publication 892 Publication 3498

Form <b>886-A</b> (May 2017)	 Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service  Explanation of Items		
Name of taxpayer	Tax Identification Number (last 4 digits)	Year/Period ended	

#### ISSUES:

- 1) Did fail to timely file the required Information Return Form 990, fail to establish reasonable cause, and therefore is liable for penalties under §6652 of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code")?
- 2) Is organized and operated exclusively for an exempt purpose within the meaning of §501(c)(3) of the Code?
- 3) Should continue to be recognized as tax exempt under §501(a) of the Code as an organization described in §501(c)(3)?

#### **FACTS**

, hereinafter referred to as the Organization, was incorporated under the laws of the state of as a nonprofit corporation on . In a determination letter dated . , the Organization was held to be exempt from federal income tax as an organization described in Code §501(c)(3) and classified as a public charity described in Code §509(a)(1) and §170(b)(1)(A)(vi).

The Organization filed for an exemption determination electronically on Form 1023-EZ, Streamline Application for Recognition of Exemption Under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, signed on

The application attested that the organizational document contained the required limitation and dissolution provisions, that it was organized and operated for charitable purposes, and that it would not conduct activities that violate the applicable prohibitions and restrictions.

The Articles of Incorporation provides the following statement to address the specific purpose for which the corporation was organized:

"OUR MAIN GOAL IS TO TAKE CARE OF THE ONES WHO TOOK CARE OF US. WE ARE HERE TO HELP VETERANS IN NEED AS WELL AS THEIR FAMILY MEMBERS, WHETHER SOMEONE IS IN NEED OF FOOD, SHELTER, CLOTHES, ETC..., WE WILL BE THERE"

The Articles does not contain the dissolution provision required under §501(c)(3).

providir databa adverti:	sation, referring financial as ses where it frequent se the EO's sons through va	officers described g to the esistance to vetera received referrals tly set up a booth a ervices, give out arious corporate p remit a portion of	e ans and their far s from other cha at the T-Shirts, and so rograms, such as	mily member arities to a in olicit cash s	ers. The assist needy donations. <sup>-</sup> , whe	( ) was individuals in The ere donors sele	for services and also included in their area. The where it would also received
	ation about t. The website	, the stated the following	•	•	contact info	•	erved to include purchase of the
	и						
							37
The we website and	es of	luded a page title , al a owned a	n		d operated	, which included by the President.	d live links to the Treasurer
During	the periods u	ınder examination	, the , the	issued a	a total of ued one gra	grants to indivant to individua	viduals. Betweer als totaling \$
Between and payme	en	and	, the issued a t	total of	issued no	grants. Betweer ndividuals, tota	า
On regard	ing the charital	, in response to ble distributions is	Information Documents	ument Req	uest 4, the	pro	ovided a narrative
	his electric wo music and the Everyone we married or ha	d needed tools to	to catch up on ped him. for food. S for electric. Iff. to help him y funds to buy he by case phone ca	We gave his bills. He was simply put he was beld purchase er some mutall evaluation connected	m the grant some needed for hind on his pusical instrumon. I ask their dand if so h	so he would get n bills and was o ood and we help payments and w daughter. His da nents, so we he m their yearly ir ow much. We d	t off the streets on the verge of oed him we helped him so aughter loves elped them. ncome, if they're to not have an

ıne	acquired and dispo	sed of real prope	rty in bargain sa	ie transactions	iacilialed by	
	terested for-profit en					
	services, the					
	market value (FMV),					
buyers who des	ired to purchase the p	property from the	. Th	e also fa	acilitated financing	through
negotiating selle	er financing, or covere	ed acquisition cos	ts and recouped	these costs fro	m the	during
	he disposition sale. E					uired
properties appr	aised at \$	. As a part of t	he transactions	, the	provided the	e donors
	ent of \$ in n					
	and sellers. Durin					
	omprising of rents an					
	ainst expenditures as					
the property. In	, the	disposed of all	properties for \$	, and	paid total fees an	
costs of \$	, of which \$	was paid	to the for	r services. The	ne	et income
	sactions totaled \$		14, Exhibit 2 for	a summary of	transactions by	property,
including gross	income, fees, and ne	et income.				
For the periods	ending	and	1	the	timely filed	
i di trie perioda	ending	and	, '		timoly mod	,
declaring that the	heir gross receipts ar	e normally \$	or less. Durir	ng the examina	tion, the	
_	e an information retu	-		_		
•	estate activities. On		, the		•	
	the ta	x period ending		indicating of	gross receipts of	\$
and total assets	s of \$ . On	the same day, it i	filed 1	or the period e	nding	
	receipts of \$					ax period
ending	•				and total	
<b>\$</b> .						

Return information, as filed, is detailed in the Appendix on Page 15, Exhibit 3:

#### **LAW**

Code §501(c)(3) exempts from federal income tax organizations organized and operated exclusively for charitable, educational, and other purposes, provided that no part of the organization's net earnings inures to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual.

Code §6033(a)(1) requires, in part, that organizations exempt from taxation under §501(a) to file an annual return stating specifically the items of gross income, receipts, and disbursements, and such other information for the purpose of carrying out the internal revenue laws as the Secretary may by forms or regulations prescribe.

Code §6652(c) imposes a penalty for failure to file a return required under §6033(a)(1) on the date and in the manner prescribed, failure to include any of the information required to be shown on a return, or failure to show the correct information. The penalty is \$20 for each day during which such failure continues. For returns required to be filed in 2019, the maximum penalty for failure to timely file the required return with all required information with respect to any one return shall not exceed the lesser of \$10,000 or 5 percent of the gross receipts of the organization for the year.

Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(a) of the Income Tax Regulations (Treas. Reg.) provides that in order to be exempt as an organization described in Code §501(c)(3), an organization must be both organized and operated exclusively for one or more of the purposes specified in such section. If an organization fails to meet either the organizational test or the operational test, it is not exempt.

Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(b)(4) states that an organization is not organized exclusively for one or more exempt purposes unless its assets are dedicated to an exempt purpose. An organization's assets will be considered dedicated to an exempt purpose, for example, if, upon dissolution, such assets would, by reason of a provision in the organization's articles or operation of law, be distributed for one or more exempt purposes.

Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(c)(1) provides that an organization will be regarded as "operated exclusively" for one or more exempt purposes only if it engages primarily in activities which accomplish one or more of such exempt purposes specified in Code §501(c)(3). An organization will not be so regarded if more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose.

Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(1)(ii) provides that an organization is not organized or operated exclusively for one or more of the purposes specified in subdivision (i) of this subparagraph unless it serves a public rather than a private interest. Thus, to meet the requirement of this subdivision, it is necessary for an organization to establish that it is not organized or operated for the benefit of private interests such as designated individuals, the creator or his family, shareholders of the organization, or persons controlled, directly or indirectly, by such private interests.

Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(1)(iii), Example 3, describes an organization that is deemed to violate the restriction on private benefit due to their arrangement with a related for-profit entity, regardless of whether the payments to the related for-profit entity are reasonable.

Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)–1(d)(2) defines "Charitable" to include; relief of the poor and distressed or of the underprivileged; advancement of religion; advancement of education or science; erection or maintenance of public buildings, monuments, or works; lessening of the burdens of government; and promotion of social welfare by organizations designed to accomplish any of the above purposes, or (i) to lessen neighborhood tensions; (ii)

to eliminate prejudice and discrimination; (iii) to defend human and civil rights secured by law; or (iv) to combat community deterioration and juvenile delinquency.

Revenue Procedure (Rev. Proc.) 82-2, 1982-1 C.B.367 identifies the states and circumstances in which the Service will not require an express provision for the distribution of assets upon dissolution in an exempt organization's articles of incorporation, trust instrument, or other organizing document to satisfy the "organizational" test in Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(b)(4).

In <u>Better Business Bureau of Washington, D.C. v. U.S.</u>, 326 U.S. 279 (1945), the Supreme Court held that "the presence of a single non-exempt purpose, if substantial in nature, will destroy the exemption regardless of the number or importance of truly exempt purposes."

In <u>est of Hawaii v. Commissioner</u>, 71 T.C. 1067 (1979), several for-profit est organizations exerted significant indirect control over est of Hawaii, a nonprofit entity, through contractual arrangements. The Tax Court concluded that the for-profits were able to use the nonprofit as an "instrument" to further their for-profit purposes. The question for the Tax Court was not whether petitioner's payments to the for-profits were excessive but whether the for-profits benefited substantially from petitioner's operations. The Tax Court noted that petitioner provided a substantial private benefit to the for-profit corporations. Petitioner "was simply the instrument to subsidize the for-profit corporations and not vice versa and had no life independent of those corporations." Accordingly, the Tax Court held that est of Hawaii did not qualify for exemption under §501(c)(3).

In American Campaign Academy v. Commissioner, 92 T.C. 1053 (1989), the Tax Court determined that the American Campaign Academy, a training program for political campaign professionals, operated for the private benefit of the Republican party because its curriculum was tailored to Republican interests, its graduates worked for Republican candidates and incumbents, and it was financed by Republican sources. The Tax Court defined private benefit as "nonincidental benefits conferred on disinterested persons that serve private interests." Private benefits included "advantage; profit; privilege; gain; [or] interest."

<u>ISSUE #1</u> – Did fail to timely file the required Information Return , fail to establish reasonable cause, and therefore is liable for penalties under §6652 of the Code?

#### **TAXPAYER'S POSITION**

On ending reques	, the t for the period ending	On		an unsigned the	written penalty provided a	relief request fo signed written p	-
The	•	ne bargain sale (	ause requirer	was the fi ment because ). We fully bel	of how a barga	ain sale was ha the transaction	ndled by the and all funds
	"Our required to file a sale was handled by and all funds were had when the was sold. The was timely filed	, managi ndled through he net profit from	oor knoving the , voice , voice ,	wledge of the bargain sale ve were only r rgain sale wa	filing requirement We fully believe equired to repor	nt because of he ed that since the t the net profit of	ow a bargain e transaction the property

#### **GOVERNMENT'S POSITION**

failed to timely file the required information return, . failed It has been determined that the to establish reasonable cause, and this therefore liable for penalties under §6652(c) of the Code. The for the periods ending is liable for penalties of \$ and \$ and respectively. Under Code §6033(c)(1), all organizations exempt from taxation under Code §501(c) are required to file an annual return, which correctly and completely states gross income, receipts, disbursements, and assets held for the period. This return must be filed on the proper form by the due date or extended due date for the period in question. The filing requirements, as detailed in the Form 990 Series Instructions booklets, and posted on www.irs.gov is as follows: 990-N Gross receipts normally ≤ \$50,000 Gross receipts < \$200,000, and Total assets < \$500,000 990-EZ or 990 990 Gross receipts ≥ \$200,000, or Total assets ≥ \$500,000 submitted For the periods ending and . the instead of a , when their income and/or assets surpassed the minimum filing requirement thresholds for the periods. As the gross receipts for the period ending , which was appraised at included the non-cash contribution of to report the gross receipt. As the when received, the was required to file \$ , it was required to file retained the asset throughout the period ending based on their accepted valuation. By filing an to report the total assets, which surpassed \$ electronic notice instead of an annual information return, the failed to provide the Service with the necessary information required for the purposes of carrying out the internal revenue laws, as prescribed by the Secretary. failed to establish reasonable cause, as it did not exercise ordinary care and prudence. The The should have had knowledge sufficient to determine the correct filing Service finds that the requirements under the law for the periods under examination. To make this determination, the Service considered the facts and circumstances against the argument of 'ignorance of the law' and a 'mistake being made.' Information regarding filing requirements is widely available, as can be obtained online at www.irs.gov, including on the booklets. The Chief Executive ) landing page, as well as the and Officer (CEO) and Treasurer both hold bachelor's in business administration degrees, the latter having a concentration in Accounting. The Treasurer, who completed the filing of the also possesses an ) Certification and owns and operates a bookkeeping and tax practice, where he works year-round as a tax preparer. It is a reasonable expectation that, based on the Officer's education and experience in the handling of business matters and tax issues, they should have known about the

filing requirement. Additionally, making a mistake generally is not in keeping with the ordinary business care and

www.irs.gov

Catalog Number 20810W

prudence standard and does not provide a basis for reasonable cause.

The penalty is computed based on the taxpayer's figures, as follows:

Income	
Contributions	\$
Gross Receipts	\$
5% of Gross Receipts	\$
Number of days delinquent	
Rate per day	\$
Total proposed penalty	\$ \$
Maximum penalty	\$
Lesser of Maximum penalty or 5% of gross	
receipts	\$
Total Failure to File Information Return Penal	ty
IRC 6652(c)	\$
Income Contributions Rents	\$ \$
Gross Amount Sales of Assets	*
other than Inventory	\$
Gross Receipts	\$
5% of Gross Receipts	\$
3% of Gloss Receipts	Ţ
Number of days delinquent Rate per day	\$
Total proposed penalty	\$
Maximum penalty	\$
Lesser of Maximum penalty or 5% of gross	·
receipts	\$
Total Failure to File Information Return Penal IRC 6652(c)	ty \$

**ISSUE #2** - Is of §501(c)(3) of the Code? organized and operated exclusively for an exempt purpose within the meaning

#### TAXPAYER'S POSITION

The Taxpayer's position is unknown.

#### **GOVERNMENT'S POSITION**

It has been determined that the is not organized and operated exclusively for and exempt purpose because it does not meet the organizational test or the operational test under Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(a)(1).

#### **Organizational Test**

Articles of Incorporation do not contain a provision that dedicates its assets to exempt The purposes described in Code §501(c)(3) upon dissolution. Furthermore, the is organized in the State , who does not have statutes applicable to nonprofit charitable corporations that satisfy the provisions of Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(b)(4), per Rev. Proc. 82-2. The was granted exemption based on their statement on Form 1023-EZ that the organizing document contained the required language. During the examination, the Service reviewed the articles of incorporation, found no dissolution language, and confirmed that there were no amendments to the document that effected the dissolution clause. Therefore, the does not meet the organizational test as described in Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(b)(4).

#### **Operational Test**

The substantially engaged in non-exempt activity that conferred more than a nonincidental private benefit on disinterested parties. Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(c)(1) provides that an organization will be regarded as operated exclusively for exempt purposes only if it engages primarily in activities which accomplish one or more exempt purposes. An organization will not be so regarded if more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose. Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(1)(ii) provides that an organization is not operated exclusively for exempt purposes unless it serves a public rather than a private interest. Thus, even if an organization has many activities which further exempt purposes, exemption may be precluded if it serves a private interest.

activity involving the acquisition and disposition of real estate as apart of bargain sales The transactions served the private interests of the and the donors of the properties. Private benefit has been defined as "nonincidental benefits conferred on disinterested persons that service private interests." American Campaign Academy v. Commissioner, 92 T.C. 1053 (1989). "Prohibited private benefit may include an 'advantage; profit; privilege; gain; [or] interest." In analyzing the factors of the transactions against the factors observed by the courts, the Service considered the benefit conferred and whether that benefit was qualitatively and quantitatively incidental. Qualitatively incidental means that the private benefit is a mere byproduct of the public benefit. For private benefit to be quantitatively incidental, it must be insubstantial in amount. The private benefit must be compared to the public benefit of the specific activity in question, not the public benefit provided by all the activities.

The benefits in question are the \$ in fees paid to the for the facilitation of the bargain sales in charitable deduction documentation given to the donors, which were transactions, as well as the \$ currently suspects to be overvalued. First, the based on appraisals that the an exclusive contract to acquire, manage, and dispose of property through the , and completed a total of individual properties. The fee schedule was based transactions encompassing the purchase and sale of on the appraised value of the property, not the actual purchase or sale price, which was substantially less. This , while minimizing the earnings of the . Typically, arrangement maximized the earnings of the an entity looking to purchase goods and services would deal with a number of different entities. In addition, it is abnormal to accept unfavorable terms or fail to compare prices prior to entering a contract. In this case, the entered into an exclusive contract with a for-profit entity that had a highly unfavorable fee structure. indicated that it discontinued the relationship On , during the initial interview, the with the after the Treasurer reviewed the documentation and fee schedule and determined that the was "taking a lot of money out of the transactions" and that the net proceeds were low.

Second, the structure of the acquisition transaction involved a seller who would transfer the property to the for a value below the appraised amount, treat the difference between the FMV and the sales price as a non-cash contribution, and claim a charitable deduction under Code §170(a) based on the appraised FMV of the property at the time of the sale. In this case, the found the donor and connected them with a taxexempt entity willing to participate in the transaction. The FMV was determined by an appraiser who was chosen from a list of preferred appraisers provided by the . During the initial interview, the stated that it was minimally involved in the appraisal process, and never met with or talked to the appraiser. The further stated that at the time it did not doubt the valuation, but now believes that the the appraisers were working together to overstate the values of the properties. As apart of each transaction, the provided a signed, written communication to the donor listing their name, date and amount of donation, based on the potentially overstated FMV, totaling \$ . As a result, any excess benefit received by the donor in the form of deductions that are not allowable serves their private benefit, and not the benefit of the public at large.

The benefits received by the and the donors were not a mere byproduct of a benefit received by the public. The real estate transactions were not related to the charitable purpose, or any activity carried on to fulfill that charitable purpose. The purchase and sale of the real estate was purely for investment purposes with the goal of generating capital. The activity had no direct benefits to the public at large. Therefore, the benefit was not qualitatively incidental.

and the donors were not insubstantial. The fee schedule of the transaction The benefits received by the is structured so that the EO retains very little profit and the real estate broker and their related contractors (appraiser, title search company, etc.) receive the largest benefit from the transaction. Analysis of the individual transactions show the proportion of financial benefit received by the the , and the other in Fees/\$ related contractors. On average, the retained % of the proceeds (\$ in Adjusted Gross Profit (computed as \$ Sales of Investments less \$ Acquisition Contract Prices)). On Average, The retained % of the proceeds (\$ Net Profit/ \$ in Adjusted Gross Profit (computed above)). Of the \$ in proceeds received, the in grants to individuals. Therefore, the benefit was not quantitatively incidental. See Exhibit issued only \$ 4 on Page 16 in the Appendix for comparison of the income distribution between the , the and Other by property. Also refer to Exhibit 2 for additional details related to income by property.

The Service also analyzed the other activities to determine if it served an exempt purpose,
specifically the provision of financial assistance to and family members of , talking to
in need of conversation, outreach efforts at the , referring to the for services, and promotion of . After review of all the facts and circumstances, the failed
·
to provide evidence of substantial exempt activities.
failed to substantiate that the payments made to individuals were given to a charitable class, such as the poor, elderly, physically or mentally handicapped, or distressed, and that the payments furthered a charitable purpose. As indicated by the CEO during the initial interview, the primary criteria for assistance is being a or the family member of a . Assisting and their families alone does not constitute as charitable under the meaning of Treas. Reg. 1.503(c)(3)–1(d)(2). By his statement, the does not assist who are % disabled because they can receive assistance directly from the . The does not have a process to analyze need. No application or formal request for assistance is required. No established procedures of oversight are in place, such as board member approvals. Instead, if contacted, the CEO reviews the , , driver's license, or state identification, and assesses whether they currently receive benefits from the . This practice supports the government's assertion that the criteria for assistance is more associated with the recipient's status as a than being in a charitable class. The determination to assist the individual is then made at the CEO's discretion. No documentation exists to establish a financial need, such as a past due bill. No documentation related to the transaction is kept, other than the cancelled check, to establish the recipient as a member of a charitable class. Furthermore, the explanations provided by the about each grant does not clearly identify how the expenditure advanced an exempt purpose.
In addition, it is noted that during the years under examination, grants were issued totaling \$ . No grants were awarded in the period ending . Based on the Service's analysis of the books and records, outside of noncash contributions, rental income and real estate sales proceeds received in connection with the bargain sales transactions, charitable receipts totaled \$ in the period ending , \$ in the period ending , and \$ in the period ending . Between and , prior to the receipt of the real estate proceeds, the paid a total of \$ in grants to fulfill their exempt purpose. In the absence of the funding gained from non-exempt real estate activities during , there would be little to no exempt activities. Taking the scope of their prior activities into consideration, and when measured in comparison with the magnitude of the real estate activities that resulted in gross Income, these activities appear substantially insignificant.
Activities related to conversations with was not shown to serve and exempt purpose, as no information was provided to establish that the discussions were conducted with a charitable class. In addition, referrals were not shown to advance an exempt purpose. While lessening the burden of government is a charitable purpose under §501(c)(3), the failed to demonstrate that it acts on the government's behalf, and thereby actually free up government assets – human, material, and fiscal. In addition, no relevant factors to determine if the government unit has made the necessary objective manifestation exists. While the activities with the are found to serve an exempt purpose, they were not found to be substantial. The explained that, since formation, it received referrals from the , of which it assisted individual. The outreach activities at the events are shown to support the by allowing the general public to find out about their services and donate, however no substantiation was provided to show how the public benefitted from the activity.

Activities associated with	did not adva	ance an exempt purpos	se, but instead would serve the
private interests of the Vice President	and his business er	ntities. Based on the st	tatement of the Vice President
during an interview on	, the arrangeme	ent entailed the	licensing the brand and
use of image from	. The	would assist in the p	romotion of the product, cases
would be pre-ordered, and the produc			
expenses, donate \$ per bottle to the			
This arrangement allows an insider to			
gross profit, through their affiliation wit	h the .	The CEO and Vice Pr	esident assert that sales were
insignificant, and no profit or charitable			
and logo with the product and actively			
the website also contain	ns live links to the w	•	•
members, including		•	he actual income realized from
these activities, the insiders received a			
services. The court established in Ame			
prohibited private benefit may include			
		tes of insider's for-prof	
website, in conjunction with the arrange			ne private interest of an insider,
are factors that contribute to the deterr	nination that the	activities s	erved private interests.

The presence of a single non-exempt purpose, if substantial in nature, will destroy the exemption regardless of the number or importance of truly exempt purposes. Better Business Bureau of Washington, D.C. v. U.S., 326 U.S. 279 (1945). In the case of , evidence indicates that there is a lack of activities fulfilling an exempt purpose, where there is an abundance of activities that fulfill non-exempt purposes. Like the ruling in est of Hawaii v. Commissioner, the for-profit entities were able to use the as an "instrument" to further allowed for the for-profit entities to receive substantial private benefit their for-profit purposes. The from the arrangements. In the instance of the arrangement with the participated in , the separate transactions, and was used as an instrument resulting in \$ of earnings in one fiscal period. fails the operational test under Treas. Reg §1.501(c)(3)-1(c)(1) and Treas. Reg. As such, the §1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(1)(ii) as it engaged in activities that served private interests, and therefore more than an insubstantial part of their activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose.

<u>ISSUE #3</u> – Should the taxpayer continue to be recognized as tax exempt under §501(a) of the Code as an organization described in §501(c)(3)?

#### **TAXPAYER'S POSITION**

The Taxpayer's position is unknown.

#### **GOVERNMENT'S POSITION**

It has been determined that the does not qualify for exemption as an organization described in Code §501(c)(3) because it does not meet the organizational test or the operational test under Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(a)(1). The should not continue to be recognized as tax exempt under §501(a) of the Code as an organization described in §501(c)(3).

The fails the organizational test because its organizing document does not contain the required dissolution clause dedicating its assets to exempt purposes. It fails the operational test because more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose, as it serves a private rather than a public benefit.

#### **CONCLUSION**

As required under Code §6033(c)(1), the did not timely file an annual return that correctly and completely stated their gross income, receipts, disbursements, and assets held for the periods, and failed to establish reasonable cause for the purposes of determining penalty relief. The is liable for penalties under Code §6652(c) of \$ and \$ for the periods ending and respectively.

The is not an organization described in Code § 501(c)(3) and therefore is not exempt from federal income tax. The government will propose revocation of exemption on the first day of the tax year in which the noncompliant activities were substantiated, which is the first period under examination. Therefore, the effective date of revocation is . Forms 1120, *U.S. Corporate Income Tax Return,* should be prepared and filed by the for the period of examination forward.

Catalog Number 20810W

### **Appendix**

#### Exhibit 1 **Schedule of Grants Paid** through

Date	Check	Name	Amount	Memo
				Good Luck
				Tools
				Bills
				(Illegible)
				Electric, Etc.

Periods ending

Christmas

# Exhibit 2 Real Estate Transactions Detail by Property Net Income and Fee Structure

Net income and ree Struc	ture		
Transaction	Price	Subtotal	
Gross Income			
	\$		
	\$ \$ \$ \$		
	Ś		
	ć		
	ç		
Table Consulations	<u> </u>	٠ .	
Total Gross Income		\$	
Acquisition Contract Price (Note)			
	\$		
	\$		
	\$		
	\$		
	\$ \$ \$ \$		
Total Acquisition Contract Price (Note)	_	(\$	)
Closing Cost (After payoff of Acquisition Contract Price (Note)		**	ŕ
closing cost (ritter payon or risquisition contract ritter (ristor)	\$		
	\$ \$ \$ \$		
	ç		
	\$ \$		
	\$		
	\$_		
Total Closing Cost (After payoff of Acquisition Contract Price (Note) Fees		(\$	)
	\$		
	\$		
	\$		
	\$		
	\$ \$ \$ \$		
Total Fees	<del></del>	(\$	١
			<del></del>
Total Fees		(\$	j
Net Profit			
	\$		
	\$		
	\$ \$ \$		
	\$		
	\$		
		\$	

Form Return Data, As Filed by Taxpayer
Periods ending through

	GROSS RECEIPTS			
	\$	\$	\$	
***************************************	JES	REVENU		
	\$	\$	<b>\$</b>	Contributions, gifts, grants:
	\$	\$	\$	Investment Income:
	\$	\$	\$	Other Revenue:
	\$	\$	\$	TOTAL REVENUE
	SES	EXPENS	***************************************	
	\$			Grants and similar amounts paid:
	\$	\$		_
	\$	\$	\$	TOTAL EXPENSES
N	\$	\$	\$	REVENUE LESS EXPENSES
	ETS	NET ASSI		
	\$	\$	\$	Total Assets:
		\$_	\$	Total Liabilities:
	\$	\$	\$	NET ASSETS OR FUND BALANCES
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$	\$ \$ \$ \$	Investment Income: Other Revenue: TOTAL REVENUE  Grants and similar amounts paid: Other Expenses: TOTAL EXPENSES  REVENUE LESS EXPENSES  Total Assets: Total Liabilities:

## Exhibit 4 Real Estate Transaction Income as a Percentage by Property

Income as a Percentage (a	
	%
	%
Other	<u>%</u>
Total	%
Income as a Percentage (a	
	%
	%
Other	<u>%</u>
Total	%
Income as a Percentage (	
	%
	%
Other	<u>%</u>
Total	%
Income as a Percentage (	after payment of Note)
	%
	%
Other	<u>%</u>
Total	%
Income as a Percentage (	
	%
	%
Other	<u>%</u>
Total	%