Office of Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service **memorandum**

Number: **201045022** Release Date: 11/12/2010

CC:PSI:B03:CEHay Third Party Communication: None PRESP-151393-08 Date of Communication: Not Applicable

UILC: 6011.00-00, 6011.01-00

date: September 02, 2010

to: Lehman Dry Manager

Office of Tax Shelter Analysis

from: Tara P. Volungis

Acting Branch Chief, Branch 3

Associate Office of the Chief Counsel (Passthroughs & Special Industries)

subject: Disclosure of Loss Transaction Reportable Transactions

This Chief Counsel Advice responds to your request for assistance regarding whether certain taxpayers have a disclosure obligation under § 1.6011-4 of the Income Tax Regulations with respect to loss transactions in some commonly seen situations, and whether certain information routinely included on Form 8886, Reportable Transaction Disclosure Statement, is complete for purposes of § 1.6011-4(d). In accordance with § 6110(k)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, this Chief Counsel Advice should not be used or cited as precedent.

ISSUES

- Whether the taxpayers in Situation 1 and Situation 2, described below, have disclosure obligations under § 1.6011-4 as a result of claiming losses under § 165; and
- 2. Whether the disclosure statements provided by the taxpayers in *Situation 3* and *Situation 4*, described below, are complete under § 1.6011-4(d).

CONCLUSIONS

1. Situation 1

<u>Taxpayer1</u> in <u>Situation 1</u> has a disclosure obligation under § 1.6011-4(a) only with respect to <u>Transaction3</u> and <u>Transaction4</u>. Each of the two corporate partners of <u>Taxpayer1</u> have disclosure obligations under § 1.6011-4(a) only with respect to <u>Transaction4</u>.

Situation 2

<u>Taxpayer2</u> in <u>Situation 2</u> has a disclosure obligation under § 1.6011-4(a) with respect to <u>Transaction5</u> and <u>Transaction6</u>. Partner <u>A</u> of <u>Taxpayer2</u> has a disclosure obligation under § 1.6011-4(a) only with respect to <u>Transaction 5</u>. Partner <u>B</u> of <u>Taxpayer2</u> does not have a disclosure obligation under § 1.6011-4(a) with respect to either <u>Transaction5</u> or <u>Transaction6</u>.

2. Situation 3

The disclosure statement filed by <u>Taxpayer3</u> in *Situation 3* does not satisfy the requirements of § 1.6011-4(d) and, therefore, fails to comply with the disclosure requirements of § 1.6011-4.

Situation 4

The disclosure statement filed by <u>Taxpayer4</u> in *Situation 4* does not satisfy the requirements of § 1.6011-4(d) and, therefore, fails to comply with the disclosure requirements of § 1.6011-4.

LAW

Section $1.6011-4(a)^1$ provides that every taxpayer that has participated, as described in § 1.6011-4(c)(3), in a reportable transaction within the meaning of § 1.6011-4(b) and who is required to file a tax return must file within the time prescribed in § 1.6011-4(e) a disclosure statement in the form prescribed by § 1.6011-4(d).

Section 1.6011-4(b)(1) provides that a reportable transaction is a transaction described in § 1.6011-4(b)(2) through (7). The term transaction includes all of the factual elements relevant to the expected tax treatment of any investment, entity, plan, or arrangement, and includes any series of steps carried out as part of a plan.

Section 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i) provides that a loss transaction is any transaction resulting in the taxpayer claiming a loss under § 165 of at least (A) \$10 million in any single taxable year or \$20 million in any combination of taxable years for corporations; (B) \$10 million in any single taxable year or \$20 million in any combination of taxable years for partnerships that have only corporations as partners (looking through any partners that are themselves partnerships), whether or not any losses flow through to

¹ All references to § 1.6011-4 are to § 1.6011-4 as amended in T.D. 9350, 2007-2 C.B. 607, and generally effective for transactions entered into on or after August 3, 2007.

one or more partners; (C) \$2 million in any single taxable year or \$4 million in any combination of taxable years for all other partnerships, whether or not any losses flow through to one or more partners; (D) \$2 million in any single taxable year or \$4 million in any combination of taxable years for individuals, S corporations, or trusts, whether or not any losses flow through to one or more shareholders or beneficiaries; or (E) \$50,000 in any single taxable year for individuals or trusts, whether or not the loss flows through from an S corporation or partnership, if the loss arises with respect to a § 988 transaction (as defined in § 988(c)(1) relating to foreign currency transactions).

Section 1.6011-4(b)(5)(iii)(A) provides that, for purposes of § 1.6011-4, in determining the thresholds in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i), the amount of a § 165 loss is adjusted for any salvage value and for any insurance or other compensation received. See § 1.165-1(c)(4). However, a § 165 loss does not take into account offsetting gains, or other income or limitations. For example, a § 165 loss does not take into account the limitation in § 165(d) (relating to wagering losses) or the limitations in §§ 165(f), 1211, and 1212 (relating to capital losses). The full amount of a § 165 loss is taken into account for the year in which the loss is sustained, regardless of whether all or part of the loss enters into the computation of a net operating loss under § 172 or a net capital loss under § 1212 that is a carryback or carryover to another year. A § 165 loss does not include any portion of a loss, attributable to a capital loss carryback or carryover from another year, that is treated as a deemed capital loss under § 1212.

Section 1.6011-4(b)(5)(iii)(B) provides that for purposes of § 1.6011-4, a § 165 loss includes an amount deductible pursuant to a provision that treats a transaction as a sale or other disposition, or otherwise results in a deduction under § 165. A § 165 loss includes, for example, a loss resulting from a sale or exchange of a partnership interest under § 741 and a loss resulting from a § 988 transaction.

Revenue Procedure 2004-66 provides that certain losses are not taken into account in determining whether a transaction is a reportable transaction for purposes of the disclosure rules under § 1.6011-4(b)(5).

Section 1.6011-4(c)(3)(i)(D) provides that a taxpayer has participated in a loss transaction if the taxpayer's tax return reflects a § 165 loss and the amount of the § 165 loss equals or exceeds the threshold amount applicable to the taxpayer as described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i). If a taxpayer is a partner in a partnership, shareholder in an S corporation, or beneficiary of a trust and a § 165 loss as described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5) flows through the entity to the taxpayer (disregarding netting at the entity level), the taxpayer has participated in a loss transaction if the taxpayer's tax return reflects a § 165 loss and the amount of the § 165 loss that flows through to the taxpayer equals or exceeds the threshold amounts applicable to the taxpayer as described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i). For this purpose, a tax return is deemed to reflect the full amount of a § 165 loss described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5) allocable to the taxpayer under § 1.6011-4(c)(3)(i)(D), regardless of whether all or part of the loss enters into the computation of a net operating loss under § 172 or net capital loss under § 1212 that the taxpayer may carry back or carry over to another year.

Section 1.6011-4(c)(4) provides, in part, that the term "substantially similar" includes any transaction that is expected to obtain the same or similar types of tax consequences and that is either factually similar or based on the same or similar tax strategy.

Section 1.6011-4(d) provides that a taxpayer required to file a disclosure statement under § 1.6011-4 must file a completed Form 8886, Reportable Transaction Disclosure Statement, in accordance with § 1.6011-4(d) and the instructions to the form. The form must be attached to the appropriate tax return as provided in § 1.6011-4(e). To be considered complete, the information provided on the form must describe the expected tax treatment and all potential tax benefits expected to result from the transaction, describe any tax result protection (as defined in § 301.6111-3(c)(12)) with respect to the transaction, and identify and describe the transaction in sufficient detail for the IRS to be able to understand the tax structure of the reportable transaction and the identity of all parties involved in the transaction. An incomplete Form 8886 containing a statement that information will be provided upon request is not considered a complete disclosure statement. If the form is not completed in accordance with the provisions of § 1.6011-4(d) and the instructions to the form, the taxpayer will not be considered to have complied with the disclosure requirements of § 1.6011-4.

Section 1.6011-4(e) provides the time for filing the disclosure statement.

Section 1.6011-4(f)(2) provides that if a taxpayer is uncertain whether a transaction must be disclosed under § 1.6011-4, the taxpayer may disclose the transaction in accordance with the requirements of § 1.6011-4 and comply with all the provisions of § 1.6011-4, and indicate on the disclosure statement that the disclosure statement is being filed on a protective basis. The IRS will not treat disclosure statements filed on a protective basis any differently than other disclosure statements filed under § 1.6011-4. For a protective disclosure to be effective, the taxpayer must comply with these disclosure regulations by providing to the IRS all information requested by the IRS under § 1.6011-4.

The instructions to Form 8886 state that, generally, only one transaction may be reported on the form. However, the instructions further state that more than one transaction may be reported on one form if the transactions are the same or substantially similar.

FACTS & ANALYSIS

The situations presented below are hypothetical. In addition, each of the factual situations discussed assumes that the transactions are not otherwise reportable transactions as described in § 1.6011-4(b)(2)-(4), (6), and (7).

1. Whether the taxpayers in *Situation 1* and *Situation 2* have disclosure obligations under § 1.6011-4 as a result of claiming losses under § 165.

Situation 1

<u>Taxpayer1</u> is a partnership with two corporate partners. Each partner of <u>Taxpayer1</u> has a 50% interest in <u>Taxpayer1</u>, and items of income, gain, loss, deduction and credit are allocated based on their interest in <u>Taxpayer1</u>. In <u>Year1</u>, <u>Taxpayer1</u> enters into four transactions, which are not part of a series of steps carried out as part of a plan, with the following results:

<u>Transaction1</u>: § 165 loss of \$30 million and the loss is described in section 4 of Rev. Proc. 2004-66, 2004-2 C.B. 966;

<u>Transaction2</u>: § 165 loss of \$9 million and the loss is not described in section 4 of Rev. Proc. 2004-66;

<u>Transaction3</u>: § 165 loss of \$12 million and the loss is not described in section 4 of Rev. Proc. 2004-66; and

<u>Transaction4</u>: § 165 loss of \$30 million and the loss is not described in section 4 of Rev. Proc. 2004-66.

None of the losses arise with respect to a § 988 transaction. <u>Taxpayer1</u>'s <u>Year1</u> tax return reflects the results of each of these transactions. The results of these transactions are also reflected on the <u>Year1</u> tax returns of <u>Taxpayer1</u>'s two partners.

For purposes of applying the rules under § 1.6011-4, each transaction is considered separately because the transactions were not a series of steps carried out as part of a plan. Thus, the transactions are not aggregated in determining whether the threshold amount is exceeded.

With respect to <u>Transaction1</u>, <u>Taxpayer1</u> claims a \$30 million § 165 loss. <u>Taxpayer1</u> is not required to take this loss into account under § 1.6011-4(b)(5), because the loss is described under section 4 of Rev. Proc. 2004-66 as a loss not taken into account in determining if a transaction is a reportable transaction. Therefore, <u>Transaction1</u> is not a reportable transaction with respect to <u>Taxpayer1</u>. <u>Taxpayer1</u> is not required to disclose <u>Transaction1</u> on Form 8886 under § 1.6011-4. Because <u>Transaction1</u> is not a reportable transaction, the partners of <u>Taxpayer1</u> are not required to disclose the losses from <u>Transaction1</u> that flow through <u>Taxpayer1</u> to the partners.

With respect to <u>Transaction2</u>, <u>Taxpayer1</u> claims a \$9 million § 165 loss. The loss that results from <u>Transaction2</u> is not described under section 4 of Rev. Proc. 2004-66. However, the amount of the § 165 loss does not equal or exceed the threshold amount applicable to partnerships with corporate partners described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i)(B). Therefore, <u>Transaction2</u> is not a reportable transaction with respect to <u>Taxpayer1</u>. <u>Taxpayer1</u> is not required to disclose <u>Transaction2</u> on Form 8886 under § 1.6011-4. Because <u>Transaction2</u> is not a reportable transaction, the partners of <u>Taxpayer1</u> are not required to disclose the losses from <u>Transaction2</u> that flow through <u>Taxpayer1</u> to the partners.

With respect to <u>Transaction3</u>, <u>Taxpayer1</u> claims a \$12 million § 165 loss. The loss that results from <u>Transaction3</u> is not described under section 4 of Rev. Proc. 2004-66. The amount of the § 165 loss exceeds the threshold amount described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i)(B). Because the loss from <u>Transaction3</u> exceeds the threshold amount, the transaction is a reportable transaction under § 1.6011-4(b)(5) with respect to <u>Taxpayer1</u>. <u>Taxpayer1</u>'s tax return reflects the loss from <u>Transaction3</u> in <u>Year1</u>; therefore, <u>Taxpayer1</u> participated in a reportable transaction in <u>Year1</u> under § 1.6011-4(c)(3)(i)(D), and must disclose <u>Transaction3</u> on Form 8886 as required by § 1.6011-4(e). With respect to <u>Transaction3</u>, the partners of <u>Taxpayer1</u> each claim a \$6 million § 165 loss. For each partner, the amount of the § 165 loss does not equal or exceed the threshold amount applicable to corporations described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i)(A). Therefore, <u>Transaction3</u> is not a reportable transaction with respect to the partners. The partners of <u>Taxpayer1</u> are not required to disclose the losses from <u>Transaction3</u> that flow through <u>Taxpayer1</u> to the partners.

With respect to Transaction4, Taxpayer1 claims a \$30 million § 165 loss. The loss that results from Transaction4 is not described under section 4 of Rev. Proc. 2004-66. The amount of the § 165 loss exceeds the threshold amount described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i)(B). Because the loss from Transaction4 exceeds the threshold amount, the transaction is a reportable transaction under § 1.6011-4(b)(5) with respect to Taxpayer1. Taxpayer1's tax return reflects the loss from Transaction4 in Year1; therefore, Taxpayer1 participated in a reportable transaction in Year1 under § 1.6011-4(c)(3)(i)(D), and must disclose Transaction4 on Form 8886 as required by § 1.6011-4(e). With respect to Transaction4, the partners of Taxpayer1 each claim a \$15 million § 165 loss. For each partner, the amount of the § 165 loss exceeds the threshold amount described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i)(A). Because the loss from Transaction4 exceeds the threshold amount for each partner, the transaction is a reportable transaction under § 1.6011-4(b)(5) with respect to the partners. Each of the partners' tax returns reflect the loss from Transaction4 in Year1; therefore, each of the partners participated in a reportable transaction in Year1 under § 1.6011-4(c)(3)(i)(D), and must disclose Transaction4 on Form 8886 as required by § 1.6011-4(e).

<u>Taxpayer1</u> participated in two loss transactions under § 1.6011-4(b)(5), <u>Transaction3</u> and <u>Transaction4</u>. If <u>Transaction3</u> is the same as or substantially similar to <u>Transaction4</u>, then both <u>Transaction3</u> and <u>Transaction4</u> may be disclosed on the same Form 8886. If the transactions are not the same or substantially similar, then separate Forms 8886 must be filed.

Situation 2

<u>Taxpayer2</u> is a partnership with two individual partners, <u>A</u> and <u>B</u>. <u>A</u> and <u>B</u> have a 90% interest and a 10% interest, respectively, in <u>Taxpayer2</u>, and items of income, gain, loss, deduction and credit are allocated based on their interests in <u>Taxpayer2</u>. In <u>Year2</u>, <u>Taxpayer2</u> enters into two transactions, <u>Transaction5</u> and <u>Transaction6</u>, and incurs § 165 losses in the amount of \$3 million and \$2 million, respectively. Neither of the losses are described in section 4 of Rev. Proc. 2004-66, nor do the losses arise with respect to a § 988 transaction. <u>Taxpayer2</u>'s taxable year <u>Year2</u> tax return reflects each of these transactions. These transactions are also reflected on the <u>Year2</u> tax returns of <u>A</u> and <u>B</u>.

With respect to <u>Transaction5</u> and <u>Transaction6</u>, <u>Taxpayer2</u> claims a \$3 million § 165 loss and a \$2 million § 165 loss, respectively. Both of the amounts of the § 165 losses equal or exceed the threshold amount applicable to partnerships described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i)(C). Because each of the losses from <u>Transaction5</u> and <u>Transaction6</u> equals or exceeds the threshold amount, both of the transactions are reportable transactions under § 1.6011-4(b)(5) with respect to <u>Taxpayer2</u>. <u>Taxpayer2</u>'s tax return reflects the losses from <u>Transaction5</u> and <u>Transaction6</u> in <u>Year2</u>; therefore, <u>Taxpayer2</u> participated in two reportable transactions in <u>Year2</u> under § 1.6011-4(c)(3)(i)(D), and must disclose <u>Transaction5</u> and <u>Transaction6</u> as required by § 1.6011-4(e). If <u>Transaction5</u> is the same as or substantially similar to <u>Transaction6</u>, then both <u>Transaction5</u> and <u>Transaction6</u> may be disclosed on the same Form 8886. If the transactions are not the same or substantially similar, then separate Forms 8886 must be filed.

With respect to $\underline{\text{Transaction5}}$, \underline{A} , the 90% partner in $\underline{\text{Taxpayer2}}$, claims a \$2.7 million § 165 loss. The amount of the § 165 loss exceeds the threshold amount applicable to individuals described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i)(D). Because the loss from $\underline{\text{Transaction5}}$ exceeds the threshold amount, the transaction is a reportable transaction under § 1.6011-4(b)(5) with respect to \underline{A} . \underline{A} 's tax return reflects the loss from $\underline{\text{Transaction5}}$ in $\underline{\text{Year2}}$; therefore, \underline{A} participated in a reportable transaction in $\underline{\text{Year2}}$ under § 1.6011-4(c)(3)(i)(D), and must disclose $\underline{\text{Transaction5}}$ on Form 8886 as required by § 1.6011-4(e). With respect to $\underline{\text{Transaction6}}$, \underline{A} claims a \$1.8 million § 165 loss. The amount of the § 165 loss does not equal or exceed the threshold amount described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i)(D). Therefore, $\underline{\text{Transaction6}}$ is not a reportable transaction with respect to \underline{A} . \underline{A} is not required to disclose the loss from $\underline{\text{Transaction6}}$ that flows through $\underline{\text{Taxpayer2}}$ to \underline{A} .

With respect to <u>Transaction5</u> and <u>Transaction6</u>, <u>B</u>, the 10% partner in <u>Taxpayer2</u>, claims a \$300,000 § 165 loss and a \$200,000 § 165 loss, respectively. Neither of the amounts of the § 165 losses equal or exceed the threshold amount applicable to

individuals described in § 1.6011-4(b)(5)(i)(D). Therefore, $\underline{\text{Transaction5}}$ and $\underline{\text{Transaction6}}$ are not reportable transactions with respect to $\underline{\text{B}}$. $\underline{\text{B}}$ is not required to disclose the losses from $\underline{\text{Transaction5}}$ and $\underline{\text{Transaction6}}$ that flow through $\underline{\text{Taxpayer2}}$ to $\underline{\text{B}}$.

2. Whether the disclosure statements provided by the taxpayers in *Situation 3* and *Situation 4*, described below, are complete under § 1.6011-4(d).

Situation 3

<u>Taxpayer3</u> is the top tier entity of a tiered investment partnership (commonly referred to as a "fund-of-funds" or "master-feeder fund") with some partners that are not corporations. In other words, <u>Taxpayer3</u> is a partnership that is a partner in another partnership that is a partner in yet another partnership. In <u>Year3</u>, lower-tier entities of <u>Taxpayer3</u> engage in numerous transactions that result in § 165 losses that exceed \$2 million. Some, but not all, of the transactions result in § 165 losses that are losses described in Rev. Proc. 2004-66 as not subject to the disclosure requirements of § 1.6011-4. <u>Taxpayer3</u> attaches to its <u>Year3</u> tax return a Form 8886 that states, in part, "due to the nature and volume of <u>Taxpayer3</u>'s activities, it is not practical to determine whether the applicable reportable loss thresholds are exceeded for any specific transaction or to determine with certainty whether any specific transaction has met any of the exceptions provided in Rev. Proc. 2004-66. <u>Taxpayer3</u> is reporting these transactions on a protective basis." The disclosure provides no details about the transactions. In addition, lower-tier entities of <u>Taxpayer3</u> attach an identical or almost identical Form 8886 to their respective tax returns.

<u>Taxpayer3</u> must disclose each loss transaction that meets the requirements of § 1.6011-4(b)(5) under § 1.6011-4(a). Each § 165 loss is considered a separate transaction (as long as multiple transactions are not part of a series of steps carried out as part of a plan). Each loss transaction must be disclosed separately on Form 8886, however, if the loss transactions are the same or substantially similar, the transactions may be disclosed on a single Form 8886.

The disclosure statement provided by <u>Taxpayer3</u> fails to describe the expected tax treatment and all potential tax benefits expected to result from each loss transaction and to identify and describe the transaction in sufficient detail for the IRS to be able to understand the tax structure of the reportable transaction and the identity of all parties involved in the transaction. Therefore, <u>Taxpayer3</u>'s disclosure fails to satisfy the requirements of § 1.6011-4(d). The IRS will not treat disclosure statements filed on a protective basis any differently than other disclosure statements filed under § 1.6011-4. In addition, for the reasons discussed above, the lower-tier entities of <u>Taxpayer3</u> that participated in loss transactions but merely attached a Form 8886 identical to the Form 8886 filed by <u>Taxpayer3</u> failed to adequately disclose under § 1.6011-4.

Situation 4

<u>Taxpayer4</u> is a partnership with no corporate partners. In <u>Year4</u>, <u>Taxpayer4</u> incurs a § 165 loss of \$50 million on the sale of property and the loss is not excluded under Rev. Proc. 2004-66. <u>Taxpayer4</u> attaches a Form 8886 to its <u>Year4</u> tax return that states, in part, "<u>Taxpayer4</u> claimed losses under § 165 in excess of the \$2 million threshold." <u>Taxpayer4</u> does not disclose on Form 8886 that the amount of the loss is \$50 million or otherwise fill out lines 5 through 8 on the Form 8886.

<u>Taxpayer4</u>'s disclosure statement does not describe the full extent of the tax benefit claimed as a result of the § 165 loss. Because the disclosure statement provided by <u>Taxpayer4</u> fails to describe all potential tax benefits expected to result from each loss transaction, and to identify and describe the transaction in sufficient detail for the IRS to be able to understand the tax structure of the reportable transaction and the identity of all parties involved in the transaction, <u>Taxpayer4</u>'s disclosure fails to comply with the requirements of § 1.6011-4(d).

This writing may contain privileged information. Any unauthorized disclosure of this writing may undermine our ability to protect the privileged information. If disclosure is determined to be necessary, please contact this office for our views.

Please call Michael H. Beker or Caroline E. Hay at (202) 622-3070 if you have any further questions.

By: /s/
Tara P. Volungis
Acting Branch Chief, Branch 3
Office of the Associate Chief Counsel
(Passthroughs & Special Industries)