

ID: CCA\_2010022417050016

Number: **201013039**

Release Date: 4/2/2010

Office:

UILC: 337.00-00

---

**From:**

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 24, 2010 5:05:05 PM

**To:**

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Your question about 1.337(d)-2

Hi, -- as discussed, here is a brief (and necessarily general) summary of the application of 1.337(d)-2 to a hypothetical set of facts:

Basic facts:

M, a member of a consolidated group, holds all the stock of S with an aggregate basis of \$10. M sells the S stock to an unrelated party for \$8 (recognizing a loss of \$2). The stock loss is subject to 1.337(d)-2 and M will use the disconformity method in Notice 2004-58 to determine its allowable loss.

Under Notice 2004-58, subsidiary stock loss is disallowed to the extent of the smallest of the following three factors:

1. the gain amount (total gains recognized by S on the disposition of assets while in the group)
2. the disconformity amount (the excess, if any, of M's basis in S stock over S's net asset basis -- basically S's net built-in gain), and
3. the net positive adjustment (the greater of: the total investment adjustments applied to M's basis in S under 1.1502-32, excluding any adjustments for distributions, and zero -- basically the net amount M's basis was increased by -32 adjustments excluding the effect of any distributions).

M first computed its Notice 2004-58 factors as:

1. Gain amount = \$2
2. Disconformity amount = \$4, and
3. Net positive adjustment = \$0. (The total adjustments under 1.1502-32 actually totaled a negative \$1, so the disconformity amount is zero, the greater of \$0 and negative \$1).

Using these factors, M's disallowance amount would be \$0 (the smallest of the three factors) and M would be allowed to claim its entire \$2 stock loss.

However, if it is determined that, prior to the stock sale, S distributed an asset with a basis of \$0 and a value of \$3, S would recognize a \$3 gain under section 311(b) and M's Notice 2004-58 computations would be revised as follows:

Stock basis:  $\$10 + \$3 \text{ gain recognized} - \$3 \text{ distribution (the value of the property distributed)} = \$10$

Stock loss:  $\$2$  (note: the parties would have no reason to change the purchase price b/c the original  $\$8$  purchase price/value already reflected the fact that S no longer held the distributed property)

Revised Notice 2004-58 factors:

1. Gain amount: original  $\$2 + \$3$  gain recognized on distribution of property =  $\$5$
2. Disconformity amount:  $\$4$  (there would be no change because the gain realized and amount distributed would move the inside and outside bases in tandem), and
3. Net positive adjustment:  $\$2$  (the greater of zero and  $\$2$ , the total investment adjustments under 1.1502-32, exclusive of distributions: the sum of the original negative  $\$1$  and the positive  $\$3$  from the 311(b) gain on the distribution)

Using these factors, M's disallowance amount is  $\$2$  (the smallest of the three factors) and M is entitled to claim none of its  $\$2$  stock loss.

Please let me know if you have any further questions on the application of 1.337(d)-2 or Notice 2004-58. Thanks.