

February 12, 2007

Number: **INFO 2007-0012** Release Date: 3/30/2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Chuck Hagel United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Hagel:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

If y	ou w	uld like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact
		, Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Pat Roberts United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Roberts:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou v	vould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact , Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	·
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Byron Dorgan United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Dorgan:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou w	d like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact , Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	·
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Max Baucus United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Baucus:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou w	ould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact , Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	·
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Kent Conrad United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Conrad:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou w	ould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact , Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Richard Durbin United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Durbin:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou w	d like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact	
		Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities	s) at
()		
		Sincerely,	



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Sam Brownback United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Brownback:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou/	vould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact , Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	·
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Larry Craig United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Craig:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou w	ould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact
		, Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Christopher Bond United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Bond:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

If you would like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact	- \ .
, Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government	. Entities) at
() .	,
Sincerely,	



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Tom Harkin United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Harkin:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

If you would like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff , Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employmer	•
, Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employmen	it rax/Government Entitles) at
() .	
Sincerely,	



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Mary Landrieu United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Landrieu:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou v	ould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact
·		, Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Ken Salazar United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Salazar:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou '	vould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact
		, Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	•
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Patty Murray United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Murray:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

If you would like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact	- \ .
, Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government	. Entities) at
() .	,
Sincerely,	



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Benjamin Nelson United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Nelson:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

If yo	u wo	ould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact , Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Amy Klobuchar United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Klobuchar:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

If you would like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact	
, Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Er	itities) at
() .	,
Sincerely,	



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Wayne Allard United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Allard:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf yo	ou w	vould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact , Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Norm Coleman United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Coleman:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou v	ould like to discuss this further, please contact me, or your staff may contact
·		, Assistant Chief Counsel (Exempt Organizations/Employment Tax/Government Entities) at
()	•
		Sincerely,



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable John Thune United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Thune:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	ou w	ould like to discuss this fu	irther, please contact me, or your staf	f may contact
		, Assistant Chief Couns	sel (Exempt Organizations/Employme	ent Tax/Government Entities) at
()	•		
			Sincerely,	



February 12, 2007

CONEX-103480-07

UIL 1402.00-00

The Honorable Carl Levin United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Levin:

Thank you for your recent letter concerning the IRS and Department of Treasury's proposed revenue ruling that addresses the self-employment tax treatment of payments under the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

We appreciate your comments. We are aware of the decision of the U.S. Tax Court regarding this issue, as well as the holding of the U.S. Court of Appeals that overruled that decision. It was the lack of clarity in this area that prompted the IRS and Department of Treasury to seek public input on guidance to address this issue.

The proposed revenue ruling that resulted from this project reflects what the IRS and the Department of Treasury believe is the proper interpretation based on existing statutory and case law. We believe that, barring specific statutory language exempting CRP payments from self-employment income, these payments are subject to self-employment tax as income derived from a trade or business.

As you know, we have requested comments regarding the proposed revenue ruling and will take all comments received, including yours, into account as part of the process finalizing the ruling.

lf y	you wo	ould like to discu	iss this further, ple	ase contact me,	or your staff ma	ay contact	
•		, Assistant Ch	nief Counsel (Exem	npt Organizations	s/Employment T	- ax/Government	Entities) at
()		`				,
				Sincerely,			