Consider the Source: Differences in Estimates of Income and Wealth From Survey and Tax Data

Barry Johnson, Internal Revenue Service, and Kevin Moore, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

ne implication of the decentralized nature of the statistical system in the United States of America, composed of over 70 Federal Government organizations, is that the data used by lawmakers and researchers to develop and evaluate Government policies come from a variety of sources. Survey and administrative data sources are frequently blended to create information systems capable of supporting a variety of research purposes. Because these two types of data are primarily designed for different purposes, one inherently created for research and the other for administration of Government programs, blending them generally poses serious challenges. This paper examines the comparability of administrative and survey data, focusing specifically on data from Federal income and estate tax returns collected by the Statistics of Income (SOI) Division of the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and the Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) sponsored by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Through the use of two case studies, we detail key similarities and differences between these two data sources and demonstrate methods for reconciling estimates produced from them.

We then briefly discuss the Statistics of Income program and the Survey of Consumer Finances. We also discuss in detail differences between administrative and survey data, using administrative data from tax returns and SCF data to illustrate key points. We then present detailed comparisons of wealth estimates derived from U.S. estate tax returns and from the SCF, followed by a section comparing estimates from U.S. income tax returns and the SCF. The final section summarizes key points.

The Statistics of Income Program

The Statistics of Income Division of the Internal Revenue Service was established almost immediately after the adoption of a Federal income tax in 1916 and was charged with the annual preparation of statistics with respect to the operation of the tax law. The first SOI report, based on income tax returns filed by individuals and corporations for Calendar Year 1916, was released in 1918. From the very beginning, SOI reports were almost entirely used for tax research and for estimating revenue, especially by officials in the Office of Tax Analysis of the Department of the Treasury and in the Congressional Joint Committee on Taxation. In the 1930's, a third major user of SOI data was added, the Bureau of Economic Analysis in the Department of Commerce, which uses SOI data extensively in constructing the National Income and Product Accounts. As the SOI program and products have expanded, users in other Government agencies, such as the Census Bureau, as well as many private and academic researchers, have come to rely on tax data produced by SOI for evaluating tax policy initiatives (see Wilson, 1988 for a complete history of the SOI program).

In order to fulfill its charge, SOI created a structured mechanism for transforming administrative data into statistical files, using its own data collection systems, completely autonomous of main IRS tax return processing. SOI currently conducts approximately 110 different projects involving data collection from returns and information documents; this paper will highlight two of these projects, the individual income tax file (ITF) and the estate tax data file (ETD). Data content is developed working closely with data users so as to ensure both continuity and usefulness. For most studies, data are extracted from stratified random samples of returns as they are filed to ensure timeliness. Specially trained employees located in IRS submissions processing centers collect the data under the supervision of subject matter experts from SOI headquarters. These specialists supply data editing instructions, conduct training classes, and review difficult cases. Data are entered into computer databases and checked using embedded tests that verify coded values and key mathematical relationships. In addition, subsamples of edited returns are subjected to field-by-field quality review. Finally, subject matter experts carefully review all files for accuracy before they releasing them to customers.

Survey of Consumer Finances

The SCF is a survey of household balance sheets conducted by the Federal Reserve Board in cooperation with the SOI division of the IRS. Beginning with 1983, the survey has been conducted triennially, with data collected by the Survey Research Center at the University of Michigan in 1983, 1986, and 1989, and by NORC, a national organization for social science and survey research at the University of Chicago, from 1992 forward. Besides collecting information on assets and liabilities, the SCF collects information on household demographics, income, relationships with financial institutions, attitudes toward risk and credit, current and past employment, and pensions (for more details on the SCF, see Aizcorbe, Kennickell, and Moore, 2003).

The SCF uses a dual frame sample design to provide adequate representation of the financial behavior of all households in the United States. One part of the sample is a standard multistage national area probability sample (Tourangeau et al., 1993), while the list sample uses the IRS-SOI Individual Taxpayer File (ITF) to oversample wealthy households (Kennickell, 2001). This dual frame design provides the SCF with efficient representation of both assets widely held in the population, such as cars or houses, and assets more narrowly held by wealthy families, such as private businesses and bonds. Wealth data from the SCF are widely regarded as the most comprehensive data available for the United States.

Sample weights constructed for the SCF allow aggregation of estimates to the U.S. household population level in a given survey year (Kennickell and Woodburn, 1999; Kennickell, 1999). Missing values in the 1983 and 1986 SCF were imputed using a single imputation technique, while missing values in the subsequent 1989-2001 SCF were imputed using a multiple imputation technique (Kennickell, 1991, 1998b).

Administrative Records and Survey Data

The American Statistical Association (1977) defines an administrative record as "[data] collected and maintained for the purpose of taking action on or controlling actions of an individual person or other entity." In the

U.S. Government, administrative records have a long history of use in the production of Government statistics. In recent years, technological advances have made it easier for statistical agencies to process large datasets, encouraging even greater use of administrative records for research purposes. As a research tool, administrative records have many potential uses, including direct tabulation and indirect estimation of models or other statistics, as well as construction of survey frames and evaluation of survey results (Brackstone, 1987). In the best situations, administrative data may have several advantages over traditional survey data, including more complete coverage of a population (sufficient for regional statistics), low data collection costs, reduced respondent burdens, and better data quality. The potential problems with using administrative data for statistical purposes include the stability of a program over time, privacy concerns about nonadministrative use of data, conceptual issues relative to the population and items collected, and costs of transforming the data into a form useful for research purposes.

Surveys differ from administrative data in terms of their purposes, and such differences often have implications for their statistical structure, conceptual framework, and content. Almost all surveys are conducted to answer specific classes of research or public policy questions versus fulfilling an administrative function. This difference in purpose is reflected in the population frame, the unit of observation, the sample size, and the scope of the data. Some advantages of survey data over administrative data include the targeting of a specific population and variables of interest, the interaction with the respondent, and the ability to pledge that the data will be used solely for statistical (that is nonadministrative) purposes. Potential problems with survey data include difficulties in constructing a suitable frame, lack of legally mandated participation, high costs of increasing sample size, unit and item nonresponse, and measurement error. The following sections will examine all these issues in more detail.

Frame Issues

The population covered by a system of administrative records is defined through legislation, based on the scope of the program the records are intended to support. Often this population is truncated in some way, restricted based on specific demographic or economic characteristics. In some cases, individuals may have to take some action to become part of the administrative system (e.g., filing a tax return); so, it is important to consider what incentive there is for individual units to be registered. There may be perceived advantages for some individuals to evade registration, particularly if their circumstances place them at or near a threshold requiring mandatory participation. The populations of both Federal income and estate tax filers, for example, include only those U.S. citizens and resident aliens whose gross incomes, or gross estates, concepts defined by statute, were above specified thresholds. For each tax system, nonresident aliens are subject to different filing requirements, based on income earned or assets owned in the U.S. Income tax filers represent roughly 61 percent of the U.S. individual population, while estate tax filers have generally represented fewer than 5 percent of total annual U.S. deaths (see Sailer and Weber, 1999; Johnson and Mikow, 2002). Recent income tax filing gap estimates for Tax Year 2000 suggest that as many as 11 million taxpayers, or about 9 percent of the potential income tax filing population, either file returns late or not at all (see Brown and Mazur, 2003).

The Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology's (FCSM) Statistical Policy Working Paper 6--Report on Statistical Uses of Administrative Records points out that the unit of observation useful for statistical purposes often focuses on the attributes of groups of individual entities, while administrative records are often focused on identifying specific entities in order to take some sort of action based on their individual characteristics. Thus, the unit of observation available from administrative records may make certain research difficult or impossible. Records may contain information about individuals rather than families or households, or may be a mix of both individuals and households. In the case of Federal income taxes, married couples may file returns jointly, but they are also allowed to file separately in cases where marginal tax rates favor treating the two incomes separately. Dependent children and others living in a home may also be required to file separate returns to report both earned and unearned income. Differences in the economic unit reported on income tax returns limit the data's usefulness for some types of research. Similarly, Federal estate tax returns represent only the decedent's wealth, including one-half the value of all community property [1] and property held as joint tenants [2]; assets owned independently by a surviving spouse are not reported.

The population targeted by a survey is determined by the purpose of the survey, the availability of a sampling frame, and the cost of the sample. The sampling frame for most surveys is derived from existing sources, such as geographically based population data, address listings, telephone directories, or administrative sources. Often, one of the most difficult issues with designing a survey is finding an appropriate frame (Lessler and Kalsbeek, 1992). Selecting the wrong sampling frame may lead to issues of undercoverage and may bias any results obtained from the survey data. A related problem arises if a survey targets a population that is difficult to locate or measure.

Directly related to the availability of a sampling frame is the potential cost of obtaining the frame information and the cost of interviewing a sample of the desired size. For target populations that are difficult to locate or appear infrequently in the frame, the cost of simply increasing the sample size to obtain better coverage can be prohibitive, although, sometimes, a frame contains information that may be used to target rare groups more efficiently. For example, one of the main goals of the Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) is to measure the wealth of U.S. households. However, because wealth is highly concentrated in the population, sufficient coverage would require a very large area-probability sample. To this end, the SCF uses a dual-frame sample design in which an oversample of "wealthy" households is targeted using statistical records derived from tax returns provided by SOI [3]. Use of this sampling frame allows the SCF to collect data from wealthy households in a cost-effective and statistically efficient manner.

For survey data, the unit of observation is usually determined by the type of data required to answer certain research or policy questions. However, the choice of the unit of observation is also influenced by the type of sampling frame available to survey designers. In the SCF, the area-probability sample uses a sampling frame in which the household is the unit of observation, but, for the list sample, the unit of observation is the tax-filing unit. Often, the tax-filing unit is analogous to the household, but, for certain households, such as households where a married couple files separately and households with multiple subhouseholds located within a household, there are differences. While there is the possibility of frame errors in the list sample, adjustments are made during the construction of the frame and during the sampling stage to limit the distortions (see Kennickell and McManus, 1993; Frankel and Kennickell, 1995; Kennickell, 1998a; and Kennickell, 2001).

Content Issues

The purpose for which administrative records were collected can have a profound effect on their usefulness for statistical purposes in terms of the amount of data available, data definitions, year-to-year consistency, and quality of the data. Many times, the usefulness of administrative record systems is limited because only those variables needed to administer the program are collected. These variables may be only a small fraction of the data reported on an administrative form.

In addition, because program requirements are established by legislation, data concepts and definitions used to meet program needs may not necessarily coincide with those required for social or economic analysis (Brackstone, 1987). For example, income for married couples is combined for joint filers of U.S. income tax returns; however, for some research purposes, it would be useful to know the amounts earned by each individual. When research and administrative needs differ, it can be very difficult to affect changes or improvements in content since statistical uses are often seen as secondary to an agency's primary purpose (FCSM Working Paper 6). This can pose serious limits on the overall usefulness of administrative data systems or require that the administrative agency undertake additional data collection and/or editing, incurring costs and delaying data availability.

Another consideration is that, while administrative records have much potential as a source of information on small geographic areas, to be useful, a precise geographic location code is needed. However, mailing addresses, frequently present on administrative records, may not always be the appropriate location, as when a post office box number is supplied rather than a street address. For Federal tax returns, addresses might be those of paid preparers rather than filers. In some instances, a filer may even own several residences.

An important aspect of data content is continuity over time, both in the items included and in the data definitions. Coverage and content in administrative records systems can be subject to discontinuities resulting from changes to laws, regulations, administrative practices, or program scope (Brackstone, 1987). For example, income tax law revisions in 1981, 1986, 1990, and 1993 all made significant changes to both the components of income subject to taxation and the allowable deductions from income that had significant impact on the statistical uses of tax return data (see Petska and Strudler, 1999). More recent changes in tax law will incrementally increase the filing threshold for estate tax return filers, from \$675,000 in 2001 to \$4,000,000 by 2009, and then abolish the tax entirely in 2010.

Data quality may also be a concern in administrative records systems. FCSM Working Paper 6 cautions that there can be considerable variation in quality across variables in an administrative records system. Information that may be statistically important, but only marginally relevant to administrative purposes, is often imperfectly reported, checked, and processed. Data items used primarily as background information may be of particularly low quality or even incomplete. This can also be the case for data collected specifically for statistical purposes using existing administrative channels. These items may be of lower quality if their priority is not very high to the administering authority or to the subject supplying the information (Jensen, 1987). Finally, data reliability may also be affected if the information respondents provide may be used to cause gains or losses to individuals or businesses. Underreporting on tax returns, for example, may have resulted in underpayment of as much as \$120 billion in income taxes and \$3.5 billion in estate taxes for Tax Year 1998 (Brown and Mazur, 2003).

FCSM Working Paper 6 suggested that administrative records sources are often a reliable source of timely data produced with predictable frequency. However, since data collected and processed for administrative purposes are generally given priority over those required for statistical purposes, the amount of postprocessing required to render administrative data suitable for statistical purposes may affect data timeliness. In addition, the time and difficulty required to create desired statistics can vary considerably depending on a variety of factors. For example, for some research purposes, income data for households, rather than individuals, are required. To reconstruct households requires linking information documents with income tax returns filed by dependent filers and married couples who filed separately, using unique taxpayer identification numbers, all at the cost of significant resources (see Sailer and Weber, 1996).

Because surveys are freer than administrative systems to specify a conceptual framework, many issues related directly to the definition and scope of the data are less pressing. However, content and valuation issues of a different sort are present in survey data. One key issue is the voluntary nature of response to surveys versus the legally mandated participation in most administrative data programs. In most surveys, interviewers (either in person or via telephone) attempt to convince respondents to voluntarily donate time and information when there may be no direct benefit or punishment if a respondent refuses. Even if a respondent agrees to participate in the survey, it is still possible that the respondent will refuse to answer the questions truthfully and completely. Unit and item nonresponse are two important sources of nonsampling error in surveys; however, there are methods to help deal with both these issues, such as weighting and imputation.

For respondents who agree to participate and answer all the survey questions, measurement error is still a concern in survey data. Respondents may "guestimate" answers to questions; even if respondents' guesses overall are unbiased, such approximation reduces the estimation efficiency of the data. Respondents may also have difficulty recalling past events. Other typical measurement errors include rounding of dollar amounts, misunderstanding questions, and altering responses due to stigma or prestige attached to certain behaviors or a desire to protect privacy. A large volume of research exists on measurement error and its effects on survey data (see Lessler and Kalsbeek, 1992 and the references within). While it is true that, for administrative data, unit and item nonresponse are usually not a problem on core items, it is not clear that administrative data are always more accurate than survey data. An example is the income values reported on IRS tax forms versus the income values reported in survey data; some individuals may intentionally misreport values on tax returns to reduce their tax liabilities. Those same individuals may report the true value in response to a survey question since there is no benefit to misreporting in the survey (via a lower tax liability).

Another content issue for survey data is the timeliness of the data. While many simple surveys are administered quite frequently, such as monthly, most of the more complex surveys occur yearly or even less frequently. Cost and other resource constraints are major factors in the timeliness of the survey data. For example, due to the high cost, complexity, significant data processing, and high respondent burden, the SCF is conducted on a triennial basis.

A final content issue for survey data is validation of the data. While it is sometimes possible to conduct validation studies after a survey is complete, these studies add additional cost to the survey. Validation of some items might require the cooperation of respondents, and requesting such cooperation may trigger suspicions in respondents that might lead to overall lower cooperation with a survey. Sometimes, selected data items are validated against external data sources, such as the Census or administrative data, but, often, no source for validation exists. This is in contrast to some administrative data, such as wages reported on tax forms, where amounts reported by filers are validated against amounts reported by their employers.

Privacy Issues

Any use of administrative records for research purposes must take account of laws protecting data privacy. In the U.S., privacy protections are either spelled out explicitly in agency-specific confidentiality statutes and regulations, or derived from Governmentwide statutes, such as the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. § 552a), and more recently, the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002 (44 U.S.C. § 3501) (CIPSEA). In both instances, research uses of administrative data are often restricted to uses within the scope of an agency's mission and must be conducted by persons working for the agency as employees, contractors, or under the Government's Interagency Personnel Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 3371-3375) provisions that allow State government and nonprofit organization employees to work under the same provisions as employees as long as certain conditions are met. Other researchers are usually limited to public-use data sets or data tabulations, for which great care is taken to minimize the possibility of reidentifying data related to specific individuals. Public perceptions of privacy protection are vitally important to maintaining the goodwill required to sustain compliance levels, especially for agencies, like the IRS, which rely heavily on voluntary compliance for the success of their programs.

Government survey data are also often protected by the various privacy and confidentiality laws that apply to administrative data. The confidentiality of the respondent's data is of paramount importance to the current and future success of any survey. If respondents do not believe their data are sufficiently protected, both response rates and the overall data quality in the survey will suffer. Confidentiality and privacy laws provide important safeguards against potential abuse of respondent data by survey sponsors. In addition, surveys that produce publicly available data sets also must engage in a disclosure review to safeguard the identity of the respondents. The data collected during the SCF are protected by the Privacy Act of 1974, CIPSEA, and the Internal Revenue Code through an agreement with SOI. Information on the SCF disclosure review process is detailed in Fries (2003).

Wealth Data

Both the SCF and Federal estate tax return data (ETD) provide important sources from which to study privately held wealth in the U.S. Both data sources collect extensive information on real estate, financial assets, businesses, tangible assets, and debts. The SCF also contains demographic information on household members, as well as extensive income and pension data. Federal estate tax returns provide a more limited demographic profile of the decedent, information on the

costs of administering the estate, and data on bequests to charities, the surviving spouse, and other living persons. Figure 1 provides a comparison of data available from both sources.

While there are many similarities between types of data available from the SCF and ETD, there are important structural differences. Some of the most significant include unit of observation, population coverage, and sample size. The SCF is a household survey which uses as its core unit of observation the "primary economic unit," which can consist of a number of different social arrangements, most commonly married or partnered pairs of individuals, and single persons, including those who were widowed, separated, divorced, or never married at the time of the survey, and all others in the household who are considered interdependent with them. Individuals living in institutions, such as nursing homes, are excluded from the area probability portion of the sample but may be in the list sample. All but the very wealthiest households, those with total assets of more than \$600 million, are included in sample population [4]. The unit of observation in ETD is always an individual, and the population is limited to individuals with gross estates above the filing threshold applicable on the date of death, \$675,000 for 2001 decedents [5].

One of the strengths of ETD is the large sample size. For example, the 2001 estate tax decedent file includes 17,376 records for individual decedents with total assets of at least \$675,000. Of these, 9,322 were married, while 8,054 were widowed, single, divorced, or separated. The SCF includes 1,531 households with this level of wealth, only about 200 of which were either headed by widowed, single, divorced, or separated individuals. The large ETD sample size allows reasonably precise estimates for specific demographic groups, as well as geographic estimates by region or state.

While population estimates of wealth from both the SCF and ETD are based on weighted samples, there are significant differences in the method used to calculate the sample weights, which may have an impact on estimates derived from each source. Sample weights for the SCF are calculated using information from the sample design and are constrained using known population totals. Estimates of wealth from ETD rely on a multiplier which

Figure 1: Comparison of SCF and ETD File Content

Demographic data: Name, State of residence, year of birth, year of death, marital status, occupation, surviving spouse, (children, others if heirs) previously deceased spouseyear of death, name State, year of birth, age, marital status, years marriade, previous marriage information, educational attainment, occupation, household characteristics including age of spouse, number of children, other dependents, age of parents Real Estate: Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amount Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amount Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amount Value; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment information; rent received Farm property Value; acreage; mortgage amount Value; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment information Value; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment information Financial Assets: Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, type, income received. For others: total value, cost, type, income received. Publicly traded stock Number of stocks, market value, face value Face value Face value U.S. Government bonds Market value Face value, market value Face value Tax-exempt bonds	Variable	Estate Tax Data	Survey of Consumer Finances
of death, marital status, occupation, surviving spouse, (children, others if heirs) previously deceased spouseyear of death, namemarriage information, educational attainment, occupation, household characteristics including age of spouse, number of children, other dependents, age of parentsReal Estate:Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time living there; number of acres, value; mortgage type, amount, payment information; rent receivedFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Publicly traded stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisal accorporation, brokerage account informationActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income receivedPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, name of socation (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no.), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace valueFace valuePublicly traded stockMarket valueFace valueType of fund (stock funds, tuda, Government-backed bond fund	Demographic data:	Name, State of residence, year of birth, year	State, year of birth, age, marital status, years
spouse, (children, others if heirs) previously deceased spouseyear of death, nameeducational attainment, occupation, household characteristics including age of spouse, number of children, other dependents, age of parentsReal Estate:		of death, marital status, occupation, surviving	married, previous marriage information,
deceased spouseyear of death, namecharacteristics including age of spouse, number of children, other dependents, age of parentsReal Estate:Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time living there; number of acres, value; mortgage type, amount, payment information; rent receivedRental propertySingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time ortgage type, amount, payment information; rent receivedFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Value; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; nortgage type, amount, payment informationFublicly traded stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisal corporation, brokerage account informationActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, type, income received.Publicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or ot) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, face valueMarket valueFace value, market		spouse, (children, others if heirs) previously	educational attainment, occupation, household
Real Estate:of children, other dependents, age of parentsReal Estate:Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time living there; number of acres, value; mortgage type, amount, payment information; rent receivedRental propertySingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time owning; value; rent receivedFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Closely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisal corporation, brokerage account informationActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largae: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received.Publicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value; appraisal value, cost, type, income received.U.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, cowbination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, cowbination or mixed funds), valueNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number		deceased spouseyear of death, name	characteristics including age of spouse, number
Real Estate: Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amount Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amount Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amount Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amount Value; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment information Farm property Value; acreage; mortgage amount Value; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment information Value; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment information Financial Assets: Name of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisal recentage ownership; market value; appraisal ulargest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, income. Nonactively managed: value, cost, type, income received Publicly traded stock Number of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account information Number of stocks, market value, face value, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account information U.S. Government bonds Market value Face value, market value Tax-exempt bonds Market value Face value, market value Mutual funds Type of fund (stock fun			of children, other dependents, age of parents
Personal residenceSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time living there; number of acres, value; mortgage type, amount, payment information; rent receivedRental propertySingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time owning; value; rent receivedFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Closely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisal percentage ownership; market value, appraisalActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income receivedPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, appraisal value, cost, type, income receivedU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFace valueFace valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-	Real Estate:		
Iot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountlength of time living there; number of acres, value; mortgage type, amount, payment information; rent receivedRental propertySingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time owning; value; rent receivedFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Closely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisalActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income receivedPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, oss, type, income receivedU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFace valueMarket valueFace valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueCorporate bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, corvenment-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), type of largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, specied Ero toherseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Act	Personal residence	Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home;	Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home;
appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountvalue; mortgage type, amount, payment information; rent receivedRental propertySingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time owning; value; rent receivedFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Closely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisalPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationActively managed: number of stocks, market value, name of location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market value Face value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueMarket valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), of institution, value, jaar or loss since purchaseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of finascing, value income received E for thers: total value, cost, trace value		lot size; value (usually from real estate	length of time living there; number of acres,
amountinformation; rent receivedRental propertySingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time owning; value; rent receivedFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Closely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisalActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, income. Nonactively managed: value, cost, type, income received.Publicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, and boat of stocks, market value.U.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFace valueFace valueFace valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value income received. For others:		appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage	value; mortgage type, amount, payment
Rental propertySingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; lot size; value (usually from real estate appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage amountSingle family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home; length of time owning; value; rent receivedFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Closely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisalActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, income. Nonactively managed: value, cost, type, income receivedPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market value face valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), type, of institution, value, gain or loss since purchaseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year forment-backed bond funds, combination or mixed funds), type, oof institution, value, gain or loss since purchase		amount	information; rent received
IndicationIndicationIndicationFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Value; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Value; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Value; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationClosely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisalActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, type, income received. For others: ualue, cost, type, income receivedPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), type of institution, value, gain or loss since purchaseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of busi	Rental property	Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home;	Single family, multiunit, ranch, mobile home;
appraisal valued on date of death.); mortgage amountFarm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Closely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisalActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, income. Nonactively managed: value, cost, type, income receivedPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, pain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market value face value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, cormination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of funds, corporation or mixed funds), value		lot size; value (usually from real estate	length of time owning; value; rent received
Farm propertyValue; acreage; mortgage amountValue; acreage; mortgage type, amount, payment informationFinancial Assets:Closely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisalActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, type, income received.Publicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of institution, value, gain or loss since purchaseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of funds, roome received		appraisal valued on date of death); mortgage	
Farm property Value; acreage; mongage amount Value; acreage; mongage type; amount Financial Assets: payment information Closely held stock Name of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisal Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, income. Nonactively managed: value, cost, type, income received Publicly traded stock Number of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account information Number of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account information U.S. Government bonds Market value Face value, market value Federal Savings bonds Market value Face value, market value Tax-exempt bonds Market value Face value, market value Mutual funds Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Noncorporate Businesses All businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets. Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value income received		amount	Voluei correccei mentacce turci emerint
Financial Assets:Payment informationClosely held stockName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisalActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, income receivedPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds), type of institution, value, gain or loss since purchaseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value income received. For others: total value	Farm property	value; acreage; mongage amount	value; acreage; mongage type, amount,
Priminical AssetsName of corporation; number of shares; percentage ownership; market value; appraisalActively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others: total value, cost, type, income receivedPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tar-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of funger, value income received	Financial Acceta	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Closely field stockNumber of corporation, number of shares, percentage ownership; market value; appraisalReferences, appraisalReferences, appraisalPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace valueCorporate bondsMarket valueFace valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of stocks, market value funds, represented bond funds, represented bond funds, represented bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value income received. For others:	Closely held stock	Name of corporation: number of shares:	Actively managed: number of husinesses for 3
Publicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace valueCorporate bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, combination or mixed funds), type of fund (stock funds, combination or businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method	Closely lield slock	percentage ownership: market value: appraisal	largest: year formed type cost method of
Initialing integrationPublicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace valueCorporate bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tay-exempt bond funds, government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tay-exempt bond funds, government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tay-exempt bond funds, government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of since purchase for since purchaseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: funder, cost, method of financing, value income received. For others:		percentage ownership, market value, appraisar	financing value income received For others:
Publicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace valueCorporate bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of institution, value, gain or loss ince purchaseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing value income received. For others:			total value, cost, income. Nonactively managed:
Publicly traded stockNumber of stocks, market value, name of corporation, brokerage account informationNumber of stocks, market value, gain or loss, location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueCorporate bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others			value, cost, type, income received
Corporation, brokerage account informationIocation (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes or no), brokerage account informationU.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueCorporate bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds), tude, gain or loss since purchaseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others:	Publicly traded stock	Number of stocks, market value, name of	Number of stocks, market value, gain or loss.
U.S. Government bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueFederal Savings bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueCorporate bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, combination or mixed funds), type of institution, value, gain or loss since purchaseNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others:		corporation, brokerage account information	location (in the U.S. or not) employer stock (yes
U.S. Government bonds Market value Face value, market value Federal Savings bonds Market value Face value Tax-exempt bonds Market value Face value, market value Corporate bonds Market value Face value, market value Mutual funds Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Type of institution, value, gain or loss since purchase Noncorporate Businesses All businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets. Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value income received. For others:			or no), brokerage account information
Federal Savings bondsMarket valueFace valueTax-exempt bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueCorporate bondsMarket valueFace value, market valueMutual fundsType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueType of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), valueNoncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value income received. For others	U.S. Government bonds	Market value	Face value, market value
Tax-exempt bonds Market value Face value, market value Corporate bonds Market value Face value, market value Mutual funds Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Noncorporate Businesses All businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets. Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value income received. For others	Federal Savings bonds	Market value	Face value
Corporate bonds Market value Face value, market value Mutual funds Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Noncorporate Businesses All businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets. Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others	Tax-exempt bonds	Market value	Face value, market value
Mutual funds Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value Noncorporate Businesses All businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets. Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value income received. For others	Corporate bonds	Market value	Face value, market value
funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value funds, Government-backed bond funds, other bond funds, combination or mixed funds), of institution, value, gain or loss since purchase Noncorporate Businesses All businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets. Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others	Mutual funds	Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond	Type of fund (stock funds, tax-exempt bond
bond funds, combination or mixed funds), value bond funds, combination or mixed funds), of institution, value, gain or loss since purchase Noncorporate Businesses All businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets. Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others:		funds, Government-backed bond funds, other	funds, Government-backed bond funds, other
value of institution, value, gain or loss since purchase Noncorporate Businesses All businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets. Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing, value, income received. For others:		bond funds, combination or mixed funds),	bond funds, combination or mixed funds), type
Noncorporate BusinessesAll businesses, active, nonactive. Value at death, appraisals or balance sheets.Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3 largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing value income received. For others:		value	of institution, value, gain or loss since purchase
death, appraisals or balance sheets. largest: year formed, type, cost, method of financing value income received. For others	Noncorporate Businesses	All businesses, active, nonactive. Value at	Actively managed: number of businesses, for 3
financing value income received. For others		death, appraisals or balance sheets.	largest: year formed, type, cost, method of
			financing, value, income received. For others:
total value, cost, income. Nonactively managed:			total value, cost, income. Nonactively managed:
value, cost, type, income received			value, cost, type, income received
Trusts Revocable trusts, marital trusts: detailed Type (income only, equity), amount of annual	Trusts	Revocable trusts, marital trusts: detailed	Type (income only, equity), amount of annual
listing of assets, value. Split Interest trusts: income, value, indication of how assets are		listing of assets, value. Split Interest trusts:	income, value, indication of how assets are
value, assets invested, charitable beneficiary. Invested		value, assets invested, charitable beneficiary.	Invested
Diner income trusts may not be reported.	Donk accounts	Turne of account (money months, traditional	Time of institution, time of account (more-
Bank accounts I ype of account (money market, traditional I ype of institution, type of account (money cartificate of denosit), current market, traditional sources cartificate of	Bank accounts	sources account (money market, traditional	rype of institution, type of account (money
savings, certificate of deposit), current intarket, traditional savings, certificate of balance ownership		balance ownership	denosit) current balance ownershin
Life insurance Face value accrued interest policy loop Term and whole life, face value accrued interest	Life incurance	Face value accrued interest policy loan	Term and whole life: face value, cash value
amount net and and a service interest, pointy to an interest pointy to an interest pointy to an interest policy loans (nurpose and navment		amount	policy loans (nurpose and navment
information) premiums			information), premiums

Mortgages and notes	Amount owed to decedent	Amount owed to respondent
Retirement assets:		
Annuities	Equity: value, detailed listing of assets. Income not usually reported unless there is a death benefit or lump sum value.	Type (income only, equity), amount of annual income, value, indication of how assets are invested
401K, Keogh, etc.	Number of accounts, value. Detailed listings of investments are usually provided	Type (education, Roth, Keogh, rollover), number of accounts, type of institution, value
Pensions	Only pensions where surviving spouse is also a recipient so that a portion is included in the taxable estate	Detailed information on pensions from multiple jobs for primary economic unit including type, contribution amount, benefit amount, timing of payments, death benefits, etc.
Social Security Payments	Not reported	Amount received, reason for payment
Other:	•	
Art/antiques/collectibles; Depletable/ intangible, livestock, proceeds from lawsuits, lottery winnings, futures	Type, amount	Type, amount (up to three different categories)
Vehicles/boats/etc.	Type; value for all vehicles; model and year usually supplied for automobiles; loan amount	Automobiles: first 4model, year, financing, value, purchased new or used, Others: financing, value. Other vehicles: first 2type, financing, value, purchased new or used, Others: financing, value.
Debts:		
Consumer debt	Amount owed	Amount of original loan, type, payment information, balance owed, purpose, collateral, type of institution, payment history
Mortgages	Amount owed	Amount of original loan, type, payment information, balance owed, type of institution, payment history

incorporates both the probability of being selected into the SOI sample of estate tax returns and the age and sexspecific probability of being a decedent in a particular year (see Atkinson and Harrison, 1978, for a description of this methodology). Mortality rates, by age and sex, are used to approximate the probability of being a decedent. Because there is no way to control for the weighted population total, the selection of an appropriate mortality rate is important. Research has shown that the wealthy live longer than the general population due to factors such as access to better health care, safer work environments, and better nutrition. While estimates of patterns of wealth holding appear quite robust over a variety of reasonable alternate assumptions about the longevity of the very wealthy, overall aggregate estimates are relatively sensitive to the selection of the mortality rates. Mortality rates calculated for holders of large dollar value annuity policies are used for these estimates.

Valuation Issues

There are significant differences in the determination of asset values in the ETD and SCF. Estate tax returns are generally accompanied by a great deal of documentation to support reported valuations, including tax returns, brokerage account statements, appraisals, business accounting reports, and legal documents. In contrast, only about 32 percent of SCF respondents use such documents when providing valuation data, although extremely wealthy survey respondents often refer to financial documents or seek assistance from their accountants in order to provide accurate data.

While the more systematic presence of valuation documentation may make ETD a potentially more accurate source of wealth data than survey estimates, the administrative nature of ETD imposes important considerations. Unlike questions on the SCF that have been carefully constructed to capture data needed for specific research purposes, data reported on estate tax returns are influenced by provisions in the tax law, estate planning mechanisms, and the point in the life cycle at which data are collected. For example, the tax code allows certain adjustments in asset values, such as the special valuation of real estate used for farming or certain business purposes, and includes some items, particularly the face value of life insurance and trust property over which a person had a limited power of appointment, that might not ordinarily be considered part of lifetime wealth [6]. In addition, the tax code generally exempts from tax other wealth to which a person has an income interest, but not necessarily actual title, such as defined-benefit pension plans, simple trusts, and Social Security benefits.

A number of other factors can contribute to differences in the values of assets captured in the ETD and those collected on the SCF. While estate tax returns are generally prepared by professionals and are, therefore, likely to be more precise in detail than survey responses, the values are used to compute tax liability; so, there is a natural tendency for the values to be as conservative as legally permissible. This is especially true for hard-tovalue assets, such as businesses and certain types of real estate. It should also be noted that the ETD collected by SOI are pre-audit figures. While we believe that the relatively high audit rate for estate-tax returns ensures that complete evasion is relatively rare, the values reported may be subject to underreported and missing values, the later due to informal transfers of small items such as jewelry [7]. In addition, it is common to claim substantial discounts when valuing ownership interests of less than 50 percent in small companies, partnerships, and other nonliquid assets. The creation of family limited partnerships and other estate planning techniques can significantly reduce the asset values included in a decedent's estate by taking advantage of these discounts [8]. Finally, the wealth of some estate tax decedents may differ significantly from that of the general population in the same age cohort, due to expenses related to final illnesses. In addition, when death is anticipated, decedents may have altered the composition of their assets in order to simplify their finances, to provide liquidity to pay for health-related expenses, and to ensure that family-owned business operations are not disrupted by their deaths.

Direct Comparisons Between SCF and ETD Data

The study of wealth includes many goals, only one of which is the determination of point estimates for various populations and subpopulations. The previous section pointed out important structural differences between the SCF and ETD. A key research question then is do these two datasets provide similar analytical results, despite these differences? Focusing on total assets as the measure of wealth, the SCF data show that there were more than 13.4 million households with total assets of \$675,000 or more, while the ETD data show that there were more than 6.1 million individuals at or above that wealth threshold. The mean age for heads of household in the SCF was 56, and the median age was 54. For ETD, the mean and median ages were both 60 [9]. Estimates for widowed, single, separated, or divorced persons provide the best opportunity for direct comparisons between the two datasets since the units of observation should be closely aligned. Figure 2 provides a direct comparison of wealth components for the SCF and

Figure 2
Comparisons of SCF and Estate Tax Data Estimates of Wealth, by
Marital Status, for Households or Estates with >= \$675,000 in Assets
(Money amounts are in thousands of dollars)

	Survey of Consumer Finances			Estate Tax Estimates				
	%	•			%			
	reporting	Mean	Median	Total	reporting	Mean	Median	Total
Single/widowed/div/sep								
Total assets	100.0	2,102	1,099	4,564,262,000	100.0	1,833	1,068	4,822,014,000
Financial assets	100.0	1,122	653	2,435,399,000	100.0	1,189	745	3,108.671,000
Nonfinancial assets	98.5	980	488	2,128,862,000	96.0	678	343	1,713,343,000
Personal residence	85.0	286	230	620,366,000	67.1	320	240	564,534,000
Other real estate	50.7	270	17	586,918,000	36.1	386	215	367,051,000

ETD, for unmarried or unpartnered units with at least \$675,000 in total assets. The SCF data show that there were 2.17 million single/widowed/divorced/separated households in 2001 with total asset holdings worth nearly \$4.6 trillion, while ETD estimates show 2.6 million such individuals with more than \$4.8 trillion in total assets. Financial assets compose 53 percent of total assets in the SCF, but account for nearly 65 percent of the total in the ETD estimates. Nevertheless, the mean and median values for financial assets are similar between the two groups, with SCF values somewhat lower than ETD values. Total nonfinancial assets have somewhat higher mean and median values in the SCF estimates. The mean and median values for personal residences in both datasets are remarkably similar, despite the higher incidence of this asset reported in the SCF and the fact that personal residences account for a smaller portion of total assets in the ETD estimates.

Because point estimates for married households in the SCF include assets of both partners while estimates from the ETD are for only one of a pair, direct comparisons are not meaningful. However, it would be useful to know whether differences in the estimates are primarily attributable to differences in the unit of measurement and population coverage, or if these differences are masking more fundamental structural differences between the two data sets. In order to examine these issues, it is necessary either to divide households in the SCF to create individuals, or to impute households from individuals in the ETD. There have been a couple of attempts to simulate the estate tax filing population using SCF data (see for example Poterba and Weisbrenner, 2001; Eller et al., 2001). However, these efforts have been limited by the sample size of the SCF and the sensitivity of the resulting estimates to assumptions about the relative share of household assets attributable to each separate spouse. We choose instead to impute households for married individuals in the ETD. A sketch of the procedures follows (see Johnson and Woodburn, 1994 for a full description of this process).

While estate tax returns provide detailed information on property held jointly with a surviving spouse, they provide virtually no other information on the wealth owned separately by the survivor, making model-based imputation of households infeasible. Instead, hotdeck imputation is used to approximate the wealth of a survivor spouse (see Hinkins and Scheuren, 1986, for a detailed discussion of hotdeck imputation). Married decedents are separated into two groups, based on sex. under the simplifying assumption that decedents on the file, as a group, had characteristics similar to those of the surviving spouses [10]. Adjustment cells are constructed based on the value of jointly held property, within broad age strata, and male decedents were paired randomly with a female decedent, within adjustment cells, to form families. Additional weight adjustments are needed to account for households where the female decedent's wealth is above the estate tax filing threshold, but where the separate wealth of her spouse is below the threshold. Still missing from this simulated household file are households where each partner's independent wealth is below the estate tax filing threshold, but where their combined gross assets exceed \$675,000. By choosing a high enough threshold, for example \$1.5 million, the effects of these missing households on final estimates should be minimized.

The resulting imputed family data set, while only crudely approximating household wealth for married individuals and ignoring nontraditional households that would be included in the SCF, can nevertheless be used to test whether the two data sources are measuring the same underlying wealth distribution. Figure 3 graphically compares the distributions of total assets using quantile-quantile (QQ) plots. If the distributions implied by the data sets being compared are similar, the plots will form a straight line. Deviation from the 45-degree line indicates variance between the two sets of estimates. The first graph compares the ETD with the SCF. Note that the QQ plot is nonlinear, meaning that the distributions are functionally different. The second graph compares the imputed family data set to estimates from the SCF and truncates the distributions at \$1.5 million. In this graph, the plots for the 10th through 90th percentiles are approximately linear and much closer to the 45-degree line than was the case for the untransformed ETD estimates. The values in the SCF are still somewhat larger than ETD, as would be expected. Differences at the 99th percentile, where the ETD estimates are much higher, reflect the sample variance of both datasets, particularly the SCF, which has very few observations at this level of wealth. Overall, these results suggest that the two



data sets produce roughly equivalent measures despite having different units of observation.

If both the ETD and SCF are observing essentially the same population characteristics, they should provide similar estimates of economic trends. One trend that is often considered an important measure of the overall economic well-being in the U.S. is the concentration of wealth, defined here as the share of total wealth owned by a fixed portion of the population. As shown in Figure 4, the SCF estimates reveal that the wealthiest 1 percent of households owned between 30 percent and 35 percent of total household wealth between 1989 and 2001, with an increase between 1992 and 1995 and a slight decrease after that. Estimates for individuals in the top 1 and top ½ percent of the population constructed from ETD show a similar trend, with a slight increase in the middle of the period, but with concentration in 2001 about the same as in 1989.

Income Data

Both the SCF and the ITF file are important sources. of data on the different types of income received by households and tax filers. The main differences between the two sources are the unit of observation, sample size, and the motivations people face in providing data. While much has been said about the differences in the unit of observation in the two data sources, it is also worth noting the difference in the sample size. The ITF file is a sample of approximately 175,000 tax records, but the sample size for the 2001 SCF is a much smaller 4,449 households. Although the SCF has a smaller sample, the detail and scope of the data allow for a broader range of research than is possible with the tax data.

Valuation Issues

The income questions in the SCF are structured to allow the respondents to reference their tax forms when answering the income questions. Figure 5 shows the correspondence between the income questions in the SCF and the line number on IRS Form 1040. The SCF variable numbers that correspond to each line of the IRS Form 1040 are listed on Figure 5. As shown in Figure 5, the SCF income questions were designed to cover most forms of income that a household reports on its tax form. Since the SCF is interested in all sources of household income and not just income subject to taxation, the questions on pensions, IRA/401(k) distributions, annuities, and Social Security payments refer to the total amounts. The SCF also asks about any income from nontaxable investments, such as municipal bonds, and any income received from Government transfer programs (such TANF, SSI, and food stamps). Households are not questioned about any adjustments to total income (lines 23-31a on Form 1040), but households are questioned about their Adjusted Gross Income (AGI, line 33). All



Figure 5

	11.5	Individual Income Tax De			
	For t	ne year lan 1-Dec 31 2000 or other tay ye		(99) IRS Use OnlyDo i	not write or staple in this space.
Label	T Yo	ur first name and initial	ast name	, 2000, ending	ZU OMB No. 1545-0074
(See L					
instructions B on page 19.) E	lf a	joint return, spouse's first name and initial	Last name		Spouse's social security number
Use the IRS	Ho	me address (number and street). If you have	2 P.O. box soo page 10	Ant no	
Iabel. H Otherwise, E			a F.O. DOX, see page 15	Арг. но.	🔺 Important! 🔺 🗉
please print R or type.	Cit	y, town or post office, state, and ZIP code. If	you have a foreign addr	ess, see page 19.	You must enter your SSN(s) above.
Presidential	<u> </u>				
Election Campaign (See page 19.)		Note. Checking "Yes" will not change Do you, or your spouse if filing a joint	your tax or reduce yo return, want \$3 to go	to this fund?	
· · · · ·	1	Single		······································	
Filing Status	2	Married filing joint return (even	if only one had incor	ne) ·	
	3	Married filing separate return. Ente	r spouse's social security	y no. above and full name here.	▶
Check only	4	Head of household (with qualify	ving person). (See page	19.) If the qualifying person i	s a child but not your dependent,
one box.	-	enter this child's name here.	•		
	5	Qualitying widow(er) with depe	endent child (year spo	use died). (See pa	age 19.)
Exemptions	6a	return do not check be	ne else) can claim you x 6a	as a dependent on his or h	er tax No. of boxes checked on
	ь				6a and 6b /
	c	Dependents:	(2) Dependent's	(3) Dependent's (4) V if qu	alifying children on 6c
		(1) First name Last name	social security numbe	r relationship to child for c you credit (see	hild tax who: page 20)
					Ived with you e did not live with
If more than six					you due to divorce
see page 20.					or separation (see page 20)
					Dependents on 6c
					not entered above
		Table and a state of the state			entered on
	- 0	Total number of exemptions claimed	<u> </u>	•••••••••	. lines above ▶ ■
Income	7	Wages, salaries, tips, etc. Attach Form	n(s) W-2	• • • • • • • • •	7 X5702
• •	88	Taxable interest. Attach Schedule B in	required	86 X5706	6a X3706
Attach Forms W-2 and	ں م	Ordinany dividends Attach Schedule E	Driine 8a L		9 X5710
W-2G here.	10	Taxable refunds credits or offsets of	state and local income	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	10
Also attach Form(s) 1099-R	11	Alimony received		e taxes (see page 22)	11 X5718
if tax was	12	Business income or (loss). Attach Sch	edule Cor C-EZ.		12 X5704
withheld.	13	Capital gain or (loss). Attach Schedule	13 X5712		
	14	Other gains or (losses). Attach Form 4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		14 X5712
If you did not	15a	Total IRA distributions 15a X572	<u>24</u> b	Faxable amount (see page 23)	15b
get a W-2, see page 21.	16a	Total pensions and annuities 16a X572	22 ь	Taxable amount (see page 23)	16b
000 pago 211	17	Rental real estate, royalties, partnership	17 X5714		
Enclose, but do	18	Farm income or (loss). Attach Schedul	eF		18 X5704
payment. Also,	19	Unemployment compensation			19 X5/16
please use	208	Social security benefits . 20a 17072	• <u> </u>	Faxable amount (see page 25)	200
rorm 1040-V.	22	Add the amounts in the far right column	for lines 7 through 21.	This is your total income	21 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
A.1	23	IRA deduction (see page 27)		23	
Adjusted	24	Student loan interest deduction (see page	age 27)	24	
Gross	25	Medical savings account deduction. Al	tach Form 8853	25	
псоте	26	Moving expenses. Attach Form 3903		26	
	27	One-half of self-employment tax. Attac	h Schedule SE	27	
	28	Self-employed health insurance deduct	tion (see page 29)	28	
	29	Self-employed SEP, SIMPLE, and qual	ified plans	29	
	30	Penalty on early withdrawal of savings	· · · · · · · }	30	
	24-	Alimony sold b David of COM 5		21a	
	31a 32	Alimony paid b Recipient's SSN ►		31a	32
	31a 32 33	Alimony paid b Recipient's SSN ► Add lines 23 through 31a Subtract line 32 from line 22. This is vo	ur adjusted gross in	31a	32 33 X5751 X7651 X7652

Form 1040 (2000)			Page 2
	34	Amount from line 33 (adjusted gross income)	34
Tax and Credits	35a	Check if: \Box You were 65 or older, \Box Blind: \Box Spouse was 65 or older, \Box Blind. Add the number of boxes checked above and enter the total here.	
	ь	If you are married filing separately and your spouse itemizes deductions or	
Connected	ιľ	you were a dual-status alien, see page 31 and check here	
Deduction	36	Enter your itemized deductions from Schedule A, line 28, or standard deduction shown	
for Most	ſ	on the left. But see page 31 to find your standard deduction if you checked any box on	36
People	27	Subtract line 26 from line 24	37
\$4,400	37	Subtract line So from the S4	
Head of	38	If the 34 is \$90,700 of less, multiply \$2,000 by the total number of exemptions claimed on	38
household:	20	Tayable income. Subtract line 39 from line 37. If line 38 is more than line 37, enter -0.	39
Married filing	35	Tax (son page 22) Check if any tay is from a \Box . Form(s) 8814 b \Box Form 4972	40
jointly or	40	Alternative minimum tax Attach Form 6251	41
Qualitying widowler):	41		42
\$7,350	42	Foreign tax credit Attach Form 1116 if required	
Married	43	Credit for child and dependent care expenses. Attach Form 2441 44	
separately:	44	Credit for the elderly or the disabled Attach Schedule R 45	
\$3,675	15	Education credits Attach Form 8863	
	40	Child tax credit (see nane 36)	
	48	Adoption credit Attach Form 8839	
	49	Other, Check if from a Form 3800 b Form 8396	
	50	Add lines 43 through 49. These are your total credits	50
•	51	Subtract line 50 from line 42. If line 50 is more than line 42, enter -0- ,	51
Other	52	Self-employment tax. Attach Schedule SE	52
Other	53	Social security and Medicare tax on tip income not reported to employer. Attach Form 4137	53
Idxes	54	Tax on IRAs, other retirement plans, and MSAs. Attach Form 5329 if required	54
	55	Advance earned income credit payments from Form(s) W-2	55
	56	Household employment taxes. Attach Schedule H	56
	57	Add lines 51 through 56. This is your total tax	57
Payments	58	Federal income tax withheld from Forms W-2 and 1099 58	
	ر 59	2000 estimated tax payments and amount applied from 1999 return	
qualifying	_60a	Earned income credit (EIC)	
child, attach	Ь	Nontaxable earned income: amount	
)	and type	
	61	Additional child tay credit. Attach Form 9912	
	62	Additional child tax credit. Attach rollin 8612	
	64	Other navments. Check if from a Form 2439 b Form 4136 64	
	65	Add lines 58, 59, 60a, and 61 through 64. These are your total payments	65
Dofund	66	If line 65 is more than line 57, subtract line 57 from line 65. This is the amount you overpaid	66
Neiuliu	67a	Amount of line 66 you want refunded to you	67a
Have it directly			
deposited!	▶ b	Routing number	
See page 50 and fill in 67b.	► d		
67c, and 67d.	68	Amount of line 66 you want applied to your 2001 estimated tax 68	
Amount	69	If line 57 is more than line 65, subtract line 65 from line 57. This is the amount you owe.	
You Owe		For details on how to pay, see page 51	69 ////////////////////////////////////
Cime	/U	Estimated tax penalty. Also include on line o9 70	and to the best of my knowledge and
Sign	belie	f, they are true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than taxpayer) is based on all information of	of which preparer has any knowledge.
Here	. Ya	our signature Date Your occupation Daytime ph	one number
Joint return? See page 19.			
Кеер а сору		pouse's signature. If a joint return, both must sign. Date Spouse's occupation May the IRS of	iscuss this return with the preparer
for your records	/ '	shown below	(see page 52)? Yes No
Date	Pres	Date	Preparer's SSN or PTIN
Paid	sign	ature Check if self-employed	
Preparer's	Firm	's name (or EIN	
Use Unly	your addr	s if self-employed), Phone no.	()
			Form 1040 (2000)

income amounts reported in the SCF are for the year prior to the survey year.

Even with the close correspondence between the income questions in the SCF and IRS Form 1040, accurate classification and reporting of income amounts are still a potential problem in the SCF. While households are encouraged to reference documents during the interview, in the 2001 SCF, only about 32 percent of households referenced any type of documents. However, of those households that used documents, 43 percent referenced their tax forms. The ability of households that did not reference their tax forms to accurately recall and classify income introduces potential bias or inefficiency into the SCF income estimates. Although the legal penalties for misreporting income provide a strong incentive for filers to report accurate amounts to the IRS, evasion and misclassification may still bias the estimates and introduce inefficiencies.

Direct Comparisons Between SCF and SOI Data

Figure 6 provides a comparison of SCF and SOI income for the 2000 tax year. The first row of Figure 6 highlights the difference in the unit of observation between the two data sources. In the SCF, the unit of observation is the household, which can often contain more than one tax unit. The SCF asks the filing status of the core individual or couple in a household, thus allowing married or partnered households filing separately to be counted as two returns. The SCF underestimates the number of returns, no doubt in large part because the SCF does not ask about the filing status of other individuals within the household. These individuals include dependents who may also file a return and other members of the household who are not financially dependent on the household head or the core couple.

Figure 6

Comparing Components of Total Income from the SCF to the IRS Values, All Returns (Money amounts in thousands of dollars)

Tax Year		2000	
Data Source	SCF	IRS	% Diff
Number of Returns	102,825,058	129,373,500	-25.8
Components of Total Income			
Wages and salary	4,985,506,700	4,456,167,438	10.6
Business income	651,515,251	213,865,353	67.2
Nontaxable interest	54,929,226	54,511,136	0.8
Taxable interest	138,970,069	199,321,670	-43.4
Dividends	107,561,912	146,987,679	-36.7
Capital gain/loss	492,696,443	630,542,431	-28.0
Rent, royalties, s-corp	180,621,157	238,022,618	-31.8
Unemployment	14,625,905	16,913,305	-15.6
Alimony	26,683,086	6,192,307	76.8
Pensions, annuities, SS	459,542,345	738,596,530	-60.7
Other income	49,438,841	25,370,158	48.7
Total	7,162,090,935	6,726,490,625	6.1
Memo item:			
Broad business income	1,324,832,851	1,082,430,402	18.3

Notes: SCF values are for households who filed or intend to file a tax return.

IRS values from Tables 1.3 and 1.4 in *Statistics of Income*—2000, *Individual Income Tax Returns*. Broad business income includes business income, capital gain/loss, and rent, royalties, and

S corporation income.

For the components of total income, Figure 6 shows no clear pattern in the comparison of the two data sources; the SCF overestimates five and underestimates six of the income components relative to the SOI estimates. Of the eleven income components, the SCF and SOI estimates are within +/- 30 percent for wage and salary, nontaxable interest, capital gains, and unemployment income. The differences for the seven other income components are quite large; SCF alimony income is 76 percent larger than the SOI estimate, and the amount of SCF pensions, annuities, and Social Security income is 60 percent less than the SOI estimate. The larger differences deserve further investigation.

Some of the differences in the SCF and SOI estimates are due to how each source defines an income component. For example, the SCF question on alimony income instructs the respondent to include child support payments. Since child support payments are nontaxable, such payments should not be included in the SOI estimate. One possible method for removing child support payments from SCF alimony income is to restrict the estimate of alimony income to households who report alimony income but have no children under the age of 25 in the household. This restriction reduces the amount of alimony income to \$3.6 billion, which is about 58 percent of the SOI estimate (\$6.2 billion).

The SCF underestimates the amount of taxable interest and dividends by 43 percent and 36 percent, respectively. A possible reason for these lower estimates is that households that receive small amounts of taxable interest or dividend income may forget to report these amounts in the SCF questionnaire. Even households with large interest income may find such income less salient if they are not in a phase of life where they would rely on such income for spending. Since the SCF collects extensive information on assets, it is possible to indirectly estimate the amount of income households might receive from their interest and dividend-producing assets. Unfortunately, the estimates of interest and dividend income obtained by applying average rates of return to these types of assets are even lower than the estimates derived from the SCF income questions. Two reasons for this difference are heterogeneity in the rates of return for different households and the sale or consumption of assets during the time prior to the survey interview.

Business income estimated by the SCF is over three times as large as the SOI estimate. However, note that the amount of capital gains and the amount of rent, royalties, and subchapter S corporation income reported in the SCF are about 30 percent lower than SOI estimates. The SCF definition of business income should be analogous to income reported on lines 12 and 18 of SOI Form 1040 (see Figure 5), but it is not unlikely that households may be misclassifying capital gains or rent, royalties, and subchapter S corporation income as business income. This may be partially due to the order of the income questions in the SCF, since the business income question is asked early in the income sequence, while the capital gains and rent, royalties, and subchapter S corporation income questions are asked later in the sequence. A broader definition of business income might include all three of these income measures; summation of the three measures reveals that the SCF estimate is about 18 percent larger than the SOI estimate.

Another large difference between the income estimates is that the SCF understates the total of pension, annuity, and Social Security incomes by 60 percent. By using information reported in other sections of the SCF, it is possible to compute alternative estimates of pension, annuity, and Social Security income. The sum of the three alternative estimates of these components is less than 2 percent larger than the estimate f total pension, annuity, and Social Security income derived from the summary income questions in the SCF. Furthermore, the SCF estimate of Social Security income is about 26 percent larger than the SOI estimate. Thus, the problem appears to be the estimate of pension and annuity income, not the estimate of Social Security income.

The estimate of "other" income, the final income component in Figure 6, is about 50 percent larger using the SCF data than the estimate using the SOI data. One possible reason for the difference is that the SCF definition of other income includes distributions from Individual Retirement Accounts (IRA) or 401(k) plans. If income from these sources is removed, the SCF estimate of other income falls by about \$13.3 billion and is now only 30 percent larger than the SOI estimate.

As an attempt to shed further light on the differences between the two data sources, tax units and households are grouped by AGI class. One motivation for this grouping is that households in the SCF with at least \$50,000 in AGI are twice as likely to have referenced tax forms during the interview as households with less than \$50,000 in AGI (21.5 percent versus 10.3 percent). This suggests that households in the SCF with higher AGI should do a better job of reporting and classifying income. Another motivation for grouping filers or households by AGI is to determine if the differences between the two data source are driven by many small errors throughout the AGI distribution, or one specific segment of that distribution. Figure 7 presents the results of this exercise. For the less \$50,000 AGI group, only the estimates of wages and salary and pension, annuity, and Social Security income are within +/-30 percent. This stands in contrast to the \$50,000 plus AGI group, in which all but five income components are within +/- 30 percent.

For the less than \$50,000 AGI group, the largest differences are for taxable interest, dividends, and rent, royalties, and subchapter S corporation income. As discussed previously, the differences for taxable interest and dividend income may be due to many households neglecting to report relatively small amounts of these types of income. For example, for households with less than \$50,000 in AGI that own interest-bearing assets, about 75 percent of these households do not report any interest income. Furthermore, the median amount of interest-bearing assets for the households that do not report any interest income is only \$1,900 [11].

The large difference in the estimates of rent, royalties, and subchapter S corporation income for the less than \$50,000 AGI group may be partly due to the treatment of losses in the SCF. Although the SCF allows households to record negative amounts for certain income questions, often households report zero instead of the actual loss. Given the tax treatment of losses, it is not surprising that losses are more likely to be reported to the IRS.

In contrast to the income estimates for all households, the amount of business income reported in the SCF for the less than \$50,000 AGI group is lower than the SOI estimate. Again, for business income, it may be more useful to combine business income, capital gains, and rent, royalties, and subchapter S corporation income into one broad measure of business income. For the less than \$50,000 AGI group, the SCF estimate of this broad business income measure is less than 1 percent larger than the SOI estimate.

Turning to the bottom panel of Figure 7, for households with \$50,000 or more in AGI, the lack of large differences in the estimates for most of the income components is evidence that households referencing tax forms are good for the data. As for the large differences in the estimates of business income and rent, royalty, and subchapter S corporation income, using the broader definition of business income reduces this difference substantially. Under the broad business income definition, the SCF estimate is only 20 percent larger than the SOI estimate. Whether this difference is due to reporting error in the SCF or evasion in the SOI data is unclear.

The most striking result for the \$50,000 or more AGI group from Figure 7 is that the SCF estimate of pension, annuity, and Social Security income is less than one-half the SOI estimate. As with the estimates for all households, the summation of the alternative SCF estimates of pension, annuity and Social Security incomes are only about 2 percent less than the SCF estimate derived directly from the income questions. Also, the SCF estimate of Social Security income is only about 17 percent less than the SOI estimate. Thus, the bulk of the difference between the SCF and SOI estimates is due to pension and annuity income. One possible reason for the discrepancy is the treatment of rollovers from one tax-deferred retirement to another tax-deferred retirement account. For example, if a household transfers the balance of one IRA account to another IRA account, the transfer is not taxable, but the transfer amount should appear on line 16a of Form 1040 (see Figure 5). Often households neglect to report these rollovers on their tax forms since there are no tax implications. However, the SOI estimate will include these rollovers, even if the household does not include them on its tax form [12]. Since households in the \$50,000 or more AGI group are about twice as likely to have some sort of tax-deferred retirement account, these households may have more rollovers.

Figure 7 Comparing Components of Total Income from the SCF to the IRS Values, By AGI Class, All Returns

(Money amounts in thousands of dollars)

Tax Year	2000		
Data Source	SCF	IRS	% Diff
AGI < \$50,000			
Number of Returns	63,504,207	77,370,713	-21.8
Components of Total Income			
Wages and salary	1,495,908,100	1,514,257,995	-1.2
Business income	71,562,974	94,459,352	-32.0
Nontaxable interest	6,367,893	7,253,787	-13.9
Taxable interest	27,735,062	60,487,940	-118.1
Dividends	17,297,297	41,826,985	-141.8
Capital gain/loss	22,558,717	37,621,491	-66.8
Rent, royalties, s-corp	17,365,370	-21,255,979	.222.4
Unemployment	9,033,543	12,204,865	-35.1
Alimony	14,568,265	4,357,077	70.1
Pensions, annuities, SS	272,705,769	294,763,093	-8.1
Other income	17,835,043	7,616,376	57.3
Total	1,972,938,034	2,053,592,982	-4.1
Memo item:			
Broad business income	111,487,061	110,824,864	0.6
AGI >= \$50,000			
Number of Returns	39,320,851	32,798,001	16.6
Components of Total Income			
Wages and salary	3,489,598,600	2,941,909,441	15.7
Business income	579,952,277	119,406,001	79.4
Nontaxable interest	48,561,333	47,257,350	2.7
Taxable interest	111,235,007	138,833,728	-24.8
Dividends	90,264,615	105,160,694	-16.5
Capital gain/loss	470,137,727	592,920,941	-26.1
Rent, royalties, s-corp	163,255,787	262,335,219	-60.7
Unemployment	5,592,363	4,708,441	15.8
Alimony	12,114,821	1,821,107	[:] 85.0
Pensions, annuities, SS	186,836,576	443,833,436	-137.6
Other income	31,603,798	17,753,782	43.8
Total	5,189,152,905	4,675,940,140	9.9
Memo item:			
Broad business income	1,213,345,791	974,662,161	` 19.7

Notes: SCF values are for households who filed or intend to file a tax return.

IRS values from Tables 1.3 and 1.4 in Statistics of Income-2000, Individual Income Tax Returns.

Broad business income includes business income, capital gain/loss, and rent, royalties, and

S corporation income.

.

A final item to note from Figure 7 is that the SCF and SOI estimates of total income for each AGI group are remarkably close. This provides evidence that, although households may misclassify the components of income, the aggregate level of income is fairly consistent.

Conclusions

Our research has shown that, while ETD and SCF data seem to be capturing very similar portfolio data for the wealthiest people in the U.S, differences in population coverage and the unit of observation make it very difficult to declare estimates from one source superior to the other. There is a great deal of evidence that the financial characteristics of the very wealthy are sufficiently heterogeneous to require quite large samples to make meaningful estimates for small subpopulations. It is also clear that the increasingly complicated financial and business arrangements practiced by the very wealthy require a great deal of attention to the definition of data variables when attempting any sort of analysis. Here, we are thinking about the proliferation of nontraditional investment instruments, such as derivatives, strips, options, and futures, as well as complex ownership arrangements, such as trusts, family limited partnerships, and holding companies. Lifecycle effects are also an important consideration; the portfolios of working individuals are different from those of the retired, which are also going to be different from individuals who face the end of their lives.

For studying broad trends in the population or for an overview of the top of the wealth distribution, the SCF provides more complete coverage than ETD. By focusing on households, the SCF data are uniquely suited for answering many complex economic questions and provide comparability with other publicly available national datasets. The availability of extensive savings, income, debt, work history, and demographic data also makes the SCF a much richer source of data than ETD for many research purposes. In addition, the sample design ensures that individuals at all phases of the lifecycle are included in the sample, thus providing a broad measure of the economic behavior of all households.

Data from U.S. estate tax returns provide a unique source of data on wealthy individuals. For many pur-

poses, such as the study of intergenerational wealth transfers, they are the only viable data source. The large sample size permits detailed study of individuals at the highest levels of the wealth distribution. ETD can also support detailed study of the wealthy in various demographic groupings, particularly by age, marital status, and sex, while these groups are not sufficiently represented in the SCF to allow reliable estimates. These demographic characteristics seem to be key determinants of behaviors such as portfolio choice, charitable giving, and bequest decisions. In addition, the abundance of valuation documentation provided with ETD provides unique opportunities to study in detail the financial planning and business arrangements employed by the wealthy to both minimize tax liability and to ensure that a legacy of wealth accumulation is preserved beyond their lifetimes.

Estimates for households made up of single, widowed; divorced, or separated individuals in the ETD and SCF were remarkably similar, and our simulations suggest that data for married or partnered households are likewise comparable. Overall, values reported on estate tax returns appear to be conservative relative to those in the SCF, reflecting the difficulty of valuing some assets, especially businesses; practical considerations, such as the difficulty of finding a willing buyer for a fractional interest in a basket of market goods; and the natural desire to minimize tax liability to the great extent possible within the constraints of the tax code. In addition, differences between the mean and median ages reported in the ETD and those in the SCF suggest that the use of mortality rates that reflect the longevity advantages enjoyed by the wealthy in constructing wealth multipliers may not completely compensate for overrepresentation of the elderly in the decedent population, perhaps introducing a slight bias. The ETD may also be biased by effective financial and estate planning, by expenses associated with a long final illness, and by changes in asset holdings made in anticipation of death.

In terms of the comparison between the SCF and SOI income data, our research has shown that, although there are differences in the unit of observation and issues with the definition of certain income types, the two data sources compare quite favorably. One reason for this is the close correspondence between the SCF income questions and the income categories on IRS Form 1040. While it appears that households often misclassify income, the total amount of income reported by households in the SCF is only 6 percent larger than the SOI estimate. Due to the detail and scope of the SCF data, it is often possible to use data from other sections of the survey to make adjustments to better align the SCF and SOI income definitions. The detail and scope of the other data collected in the SCF also allow for a broader range of research than the SOI tax data. However, the large sample size and administrative nature of SOI tax data make it an appealing source for certain types of research, such a tax policy.

The direct comparison of the SCF and SOI income data reveals that encouraging households to reference their tax forms is critical for the accuracy of the SCF income data. Households with lower AGI may feel it is unnecessary to check their tax forms given the few types of income they receive, but it clearly makes a difference, as Figure 7 demonstrates. Households with higher levels of AGI are more likely to receive more types of income due to the increasing complexity of their financial situations. Thus, it is potentially even more difficult for these households to correctly report and classify their incomes without referencing their tax forms.

Overall, the message for researchers is that the SCF and SOI data are complementary sources of data on both wealth and income. The goal of our research is not to declare one data set superior to the other; that is a difficult judgment to render. What we have attempted to show in this paper is that there are many important issues to understand when comparing administrative and survey data. The key, then, is that each data source has strengths and weaknesses that need to be understood and carefully considered before attempting to use them to answer any set of research questions.

References

Aizcorbe, Ana; Kennickell, Arthur B.; and Moore, Kevin B. (2003), "Recent Changes in U.S. Family Finances: Evidence from the 1998 and 2001 Survey of Consumer Finances," *Federal Reserve Bulletin*, Volume 89, pp. 1-32.

- American Statistical Assocation (1977), "Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Privacy and Confidentiality," *The American Statistician*, Volume 31, pp. 59-78.
- Atkinson, A.B. and Harrison, A.J. (1978), *Distribution* of *Personal Wealth In Britain*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- Brackstone, C.J (1987). "Statistical uses of Administrative Data: Issues and Challenges," *Statistical Uses of Administrative Data Proceedings*, pp. 5-26.
- Brown, Robert E and Mazur, Mark J. (June 2003), "IRS' Comprehensive Approach to Compliance Measurement," 2003 National Tax Association Spring Symposium, http://www.irs.gov/pub/irssoi/mazur.pdf.
- Cartwright, David W. and Armknecht, Paul A. (1979), "Statistical Uses of Administrative Records," *Proceedings, Section on Survey Research Methods*, American Statistical Association, pp 73-76.
- Eller, Martha Britton (2001), "Audit Revaluation of Federal Estate Tax Returns," *Internal Revenue Service Statistics of Income Bulletin*, Winter 2000-2001, Washington, D.C., pp. 100-139.
- Eller, Martha Britton; Erard, Brian; and Ho, Chih-Chin Ho (2001), "Noncompliance with the Federal Estate Tax," in *Rethinking Estate and Gift Taxation*, William G. Gale, James R. Hines, and Joel Slemrod, editors, Brookings Institution Press, pp. 375-421.
- Frankel, Martin and Kennickell, Arthur B. (1995), "Toward the Development of an Optimal Stratification Paradigm for the Survey of Consumer Finances," *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods,* American Statistical Association.
- Fries, Gehard (2003), "Disclosure Review and the 2001 Survey of Consumer Finances," working paper, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Garnick, Daniel H. and Gonzalez, Maria Elana (1979), "Statistical Uses of Administrative Records: Where Do We Go From Here?," *Proceedings, Section on Survey Research Methods*, American Statistical Association.

Hinkins, Susan and Scheuren, Frederick (1986), "Hot Deck Imputation Procedure Applied to a Double Sample Design," *Survey Methodology*, Volume 12, pp. 181-196.

Jensen, Paul (1987), "The Quality of Administrative Data From a Statistical Point of View, Some Danish Experience and Considerations, *Statistical Uses of Administrative Data Proceedings*, pp. 291-300.

Johnson, Barry W. and Mikow, Jacob M. (Spring 2002), "Federal Estate Tax Returns, 1998-2000," *Statistics of Income Bulletin*, Internal Revenue Service, Washington DC, pp. 113-186.

Johnson, Barry W. and Woodburn, Louise (1994), "The Estate Multiplier Technique, Recent Improvements for 1989," in *Compendium of Federal Estate Tax and Personal Wealth Studies*, Barry Johnson, editor, Internal Revenue Service, Publication 1773, pp. 391-400.

Kennickell, Arthur B. (2003), "A Rolling Tide: Changes in the Distribution of Wealth in the U.S., 1989-2001," working paper, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Kennickell, Arthur B. (2001), "Modeling Wealth with Multiple Observations of Income: Redesign of the Sample for the 2001 Survey of Consumer Finances," working paper, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Kennickell, Arthur B. (1999), "Revisions to the SCF Weighting Methodology: Accounting for Race/ Ethnicity and Homeownership," working paper, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Kennickell, Arthur B. (1998a), "List Sample Design for the 1998 Survey of Consumer Finances," working paper, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Kennickell, A. (1998b), "Multiple Imputation in the Survey of Consumer Finances," *Proceedings of the Section on Business and Economic Statistics*, American Statistical Association.

Kennickell, A. (1991), "Imputation of the 1989 Survey of Consumer Finances: Stochastic Relaxation and Multiple Imputation," *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods*, American Statistical Association.

Kennickell, Arthur B. and McManus, Douglas (1993), "Sampling for Household Financial Characteristics Using Frame Information on Past Income," working paper, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Kennickell, Arthur B. and Woodburn, R. Louise (1999), "Consistent Weight Design for the 1989, 1992, and 1995 SCF's, and the Distribution of Wealth," *Review* of Income and Wealth, Series 45, 2, pp. 193-215.

Lessler, Judith T. and Kalsbeek, William D. (1992), Nonsampling Error in Surveys, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Menchik, Paul (1991), "Economic Status as a Determinant of Mortality Among Nonwhite and White Older Males: or, Does Poverty Kill?," Institute for Research on Poverty, Discussion Paper Number 93891.

Petska, Tom and Strudler, Mike (1999), "The Distribution of Individual Income Taxes: A New Look at an Old Issue," *Turning Administrative Systems Into Information Systems, Internal Revenue Service*, pp. 7-22.

Poterba, James and Weisbenner, Scott (2001), "The Distributional Burden of Taxing Estates and Unrealized Capital Gains at Death," in *Rethinking Estate and Gift Taxation*, William G. Gale, James R. Hines, and Joel Slemrod, editors, Brookings Institution Press, pp. 422-456.

- Sailer, Peter and Weber, Michael (1996), "Creating Household Data from Individual Income Tax Returns," *Proceedings, Section on Survey Research Methods*, American Statistical Association.
- Sailer, Peter and Weber, Michael (1999), "The IRS Population Count: An Update," *Proceedings, Section on Survey Research Methods*, American Statistical Association.
- Scheuren, Fritz (1994), "Historical Perspectives on IRS Wealth Estimates With a View to Improvements," *Compendium of Federal Estate Tax Data and Personal Wealth Studies*, Department of the Treasury, IRS Publication 1773, pp. 355-362.
- Statistical Policy Working Paper 6--Report on Statistical Uses of Administrative Records (1980), Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology, United States Office of Management and Budget.
- Tourangeau, Roger; Johnson, Robert A.; Qian, Jiahe;
 Shin, Hee-Choon; and Frankel, Martin R. (1993),
 "Selection of NORC's 1990 National Sample,"
 working paper, National Opinion Research Center
 at the University of Chicago.
- Wilk, M. B. and Gnanadesikan, R. (1968), "Probability Plotting Methods for the Analysis of Data," *Biometrika*, Volume 55, pp. 1-17. (as described in Hoaglin, David C. (1985), "Using Quantiles to Study Shape," *Exploring Data Tables, Trends, and Shapes*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Wilson, Robert (Fall 1988), "Statistics of Income: A By-Product of the U.S. Tax System," *Statistics* of *Income Bulletin*, Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, Volume 8, Number 2, pp. 103-114.

Footnotes

[1] In nine U.S. States, nearly all property acquired by a married couple is considered owned equally by both parties. Property acquired separately by gift or bequest is generally exempted.

- [2] In States where there are no community property rights, assets titled legally as joint tenants are considered owned equally by both partners in a marriage, usually without regard to how much consideration each party contributed to purchase the asset.
- [3] Details of the SCF list sample design are provided in Kennickell, 2001.
- [4] Due to the difficulty of gaining cooperation from the wealthiest individuals, the SCF uses as its upper sample threshold the minimum amount of wealth required for inclusion in the listing of the wealthiest 400 individuals in the U.S., as estimated by *Forbes* magazine. Kennickell (2001) discusses the methodology used for selecting the SCF list sample.
- [5] Gross estate is a measure similar to total assets, but which includes the full face value of life insurance, certain gifts made prior to death, and certain assets placed in trust.
- [6] Where possible, we modify the data to compensate for these reporting anomalies. For example, the full face value of life insurance is included in the decedent's total gross estate for tax purposes; however we impute a cash value using data from the SCF.
- [7] Examination rates vary by size of estate. In 2003, about 6.4 percent of all returns were examined, while 27.5 percent of those reporting estates of \$5 million or more were subject to examination. A recent Statistics of Income (SOI) study, based on the results of IRS audits of estate tax returns filed in 1992, estimated that detected undervaluation of assets was about 1.2 percent of total asset holdings for all audited returns (Eller, et al., 2001).
- [8] A family limited partnership is a business arrangement in which a wide array of business and market assets are transferred to a partnership, with general partner interests held by parents

and limited partner shares distributed to children through annual tax-exempt gifts. This results in fractured ownership interests in the individual assets, qualifying them for large valuation discounts for tax purposes.

- [9] The mean and median ages for heads of households with total assets of \$1,500,000 from the SCF were both 57, virtually the same as for individuals in the ETD with this level of wealth, for whom the mean and median ages were 58.
- [10] This approach will tend to overpredict wealth since some surviving spouses would in reality have less

wealth than those available for matching in the ETD.

- [11] For households with \$50,000 or more in AGI that own interest-bearing assets, about 53 percent do not report any interest income. Median interestbearing assets for these nonreporting households is \$6,200.
- [12] A rollover transaction generates a Form 1099-R that SOI matches to Form 1040. If a filer neglects to report the rollover on his or her tax form, the value from Form 1099-R is added to the filer's Form 1040.