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1verage household income grew by more than 40 percent in real terms between 1979 and 2000, climbing from $\$ 52,300$ to $\$ 74,200$ (in 2000 dollars). The rate of income growth varied sharply across the income distribution, however. Average real income of the lowest quintile-or fifth of the distribution-increased just 7 percent over the 21-year period, compared with a 70 -percent gain for the top quintile. Growth was even faster at the very top of the distribution: real income for the top 5 percent of households more than doubled, and that of the top 1 percent nearly tripled. Income growth also varied across types of households: incomes of households with children increased at about the same rate as those of all households, incomes of nonelderly childless households grew more slowly, and incomes of the elderly climbed nearly half again as fast as the overall average.

This paper examines trends in household income between 1979 and 2000 , utilizing a measure that includes both cash and in-kind income. To look at changes in the distribution of income, the paper divides households into quintiles and further subdivides the top quintile into four parts-the 80 th- 90 th percentiles, the 90 th- 95 th percentiles, the 95 th- 99 th percentiles, and the top 1 percent. ${ }^{2}$ Analysis includes both pretax and post-tax income to assess the effects of taxes on the distribution of income. The paper also separates households into three types based on the presence of children and the age of the household head. The paper is purely descriptive; it makes no attempt to examine why incomes changed as they did or to analyze patterns of change among components of income.

## Measuring Income

The principal income measure used in this paper is pretax comprehensive household income. That measure counts both cash and in-kind income, including:

- all cash income (both taxable and tax-exempt);
- taxes paid by businesses (the employer share of payroll taxes is imputed to workers, and corporate income taxes are imputed to owners of capital);
- employee contributions to $401(\mathrm{k})$ retirement plans; and
- the value of income received in kind from various sources (including employer-paid health insurance premiums, Medicare, Medicaid, food stamps, housing and energy assistance, and school breakfasts and lunches).

Income thus includes more sources than people often consider in assessing their well-being. Furthermore, income is counted when it is reported, generally for tax purposes. Thus, capital gains are included in income when they are realized, even though they may have accrued over many years and might thus be more appropriately counted on an accrual basis (that is, the increase or decrease in value in a given year would count as income in that year). ${ }^{3}$ As a result, households that realize large gains in a given year may show higher up the income distribution than they would in an average year, and the distribution based on that measure of income would appear to be more unequal than if based on an accrued income measure.

A second measure-after-tax comprehensive household income-is comparable to the pretax measure described above but subtracts the major Federal taxes paid by the household: individual and corporate income taxes, payroll taxes, and excise taxes. ${ }^{4}$ Comparing the two measures thus shows the impact of major Federal taxes on the distribution of income.

The unit of observation is the household-groups of people sharing the same living quarters, regardless of their relationships. Households thus include single people living by themselves, nuclear families with no nonfamily
members living with them, unmarried couples living together, and groups of unrelated people sharing a house or apartment, among many other possibilities. In most cases, members of households share all costs out of their common income, and the household is the appropriate unit over which to measure income. In some cases, the only sharing of income is for housing costs, and household members cover their own expenses for everything else; for those groups, using household as the unit of measurement misstates members' well-being. ${ }^{5}$

Placement of households in the income distribution is based not on total household income but rather on "adjusted household income." That measure takes account of the greater needs of larger households by dividing total household income by the square root of household size. Thus, for example, a person living alone and a four-person household with twice the total income of the single person would have the same adjusted income. Adjusted household income is used only to rank households in the income distribution. All dollar measures of income reported in the paper are total income, unadjusted for differences in household size. As a result, households of different sizes that are at the same point in the income distribution will have different incomes. Average income for a given segment of the distribution thus depends on the relative numbers of households of different sizes.

The choice of income measure and unit of observation affects how households are ranked within the income distribution. ${ }^{6}$ Counting income from more sources moves households with income from those sources up the distribution relative to those not receiving such income. Using households rather than families as the unit of analysis lifts people in multifamily households up the distribution ahead of some people in single-family households. And adjusting income to account for the greater needs of larger households drops those larger households down the income distribution and consequently pushes smaller households up.

Quintiles contain equal numbers of people. Because households vary in size, quintiles generally contain unequal numbers of households. Income measures are broken down further by type of household: those with
any members under age 18 (households with children), those headed by a person aged 65 or older and with no member under age 18 (elderly childless households), and all others (nonelderly childless households). The income and size of households vary more widely across those three groups than across all households; that means that the distributions of specific types of households among quintiles are more unequal than the distribution of all households.

## Pretax Income

Pretax household income increased by 42 percent in real terms between 1979 and 2000 , with half of the gain coming in the last 5 years of the period (see Tables 1 and 2). Average income-measured in 2000 dollarsgrew from about $\$ 52,300$ in 1979 to $\$ 63,200$ in 1995 and $\$ 74,200$ in 2000.

Much of the gain, however, came from much more rapid increases in income of households with the highest incomes, and average incomes of all but the highest quintile climbed much more slowly than the overall average. Average real income of the lowest quintile increased 7 percent over the period, that of the middle quintile 13 percent, and that of the highest quintile 70 percent. The disparity in growth rates was just as pronounced within the top quintile: incomes of households in the $80^{\text {th }}$ to $90^{\text {th }}$ percentiles rose 32 percent over the two decades compared with 43-percent growth for those in the $90^{\text {th }}$ to $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles, 60 -percent growth for those in the $95^{\text {th }}$ to $99^{\text {th }}$ percentiles, and 185 -percent growth for the top 1 percent of households. ${ }^{7}$ Had average income of households in the top 1 percent grown at the same rate as that for all other households, overall growth would have been one-third less over the period: 28 percent.

Because of those differences in growth rates of incomes, differentials among incomes increased sharply over the period. In 1979, households in the top quintile had average income 2.6 times that of households in the middle quintile and 8.5 times that of households in the lowest quintile. By 1995, those ratios had increased by about one-quarter to 3.3 and 10.9 , respectively, and by 2000, they had risen another fifth to 3.9 and 13.5.

## The Impact of Federal Taxes

Federal taxes reduce after-tax income below their pretax levels. Overall, Federal taxes claimed 23 percent of pretax income in 2000 , slightly more than the 22 percent taken in taxes in 1979. Because that change in effective Federal tax rates was small, after-tax incomes grew at roughly the same rate as their pretax equivalents. The overall average after-tax income in 2000 dollars increased 40 percent from $\$ 40,700$ in 1979 to $\$ 57,000$ in 2000 (see Tables 3 and 4).

Federal taxes are highly progressive, claiming a larger share of high incomes than of low incomes (see Table 5). In 2000, for example, households in the lowest income quintile paid Federal taxes equal to about 6 percent of pretax income, while households in the middle quintile paid nearly 17 percent, and those in the highest quintile paid 28 percent. Effective tax rates for the bottom four quintiles fell between 1979 and 2000, thus yielding larger percentage gains in after-tax income than in pretax income. In contrast, tax rates were slightly higher in 2000 than 1979 for the highest quintile. At the very top of the income distribution, however, tax rates dropped sharply over the period; the top 1 percentile's tax rate fell from 37 percent to 33 percent, increasing the rate of growth of after-tax income 16 percentage points above that of pretax income.

The progressivity of the Federal tax system mitigates the differential of incomes across quintiles. Because tax rates changed little over the 1979-2000 period, however, taxes did little to offset the growing disparity of incomes across quintiles. Average after-tax income for the highest quintile was 3.4 times that for the middle quintile, substantially below the 3.9 level for pretax incomes but nearly 50 percent above the 1979 after-tax ratio of 2.3. Federal taxes in 2000 did little more to equalize incomes across the distribution than they did in 1979 and had very little effect on the rapidly widening income differences across quintiles.

## - Changing Shares of Income

The different rates of income growth across quintiles resulted in a shift in shares of income from lower income categories to higher ones, both for pretax and af-
ter-tax incomes (see Table 6). The share of pretax income going to the lowest quintile declined from 5.8 percent in 1979 to 4.0 percent in 2000 , and that for the middle quintile fell from 15.8 percent to 13.5 percent. In contrast, the share going to the highest quintile increased from 45.5 percent to 54.9 percent and that for the top 1 percent of households nearly doubled from 9.3 percent to 17.8 percent.

After-tax income shares showed similar patterns, starting from slightly greater equality but shifting relatively more. The share of after-tax income going to the lowest quintile fell from 6.8 percent in 1979 to 4.9 percent in 2000; for the middle quintile, the decline was from 16.5 percent to 14.7 percent. Gains at the upper end of the distribution were substantial: the share going to the highest quintile rose from 42.4 percent to 51.3 percent, and that going to the top 1 percent more than doubled from 7.5 percent to 15.5 percent, in part because of the 10 -percent drop in their effective tax rate and in part because of the large income gains they experienced.

## Changes Across Types of Household

Average pretax income varies across types of household: households with children have incomes above those of nonelderly childless households, and both groups have average incomes above that of elderly childless households (see Table 7). In 2000, for example, households with children had an average pretax income of $\$ 85,300$, about 19 percent greater than the $\$ 71,900$ average for nonelderly childless households and 42 percent above the $\$ 60,100$ average for elderly childless households.

Incomes of the three types of household grew at different rates over the past two decades. Average pretax income of the elderly climbed most rapidly, rising 57 percent between 1979 and 2000. In comparison, average income of households with children increased 43 percent, and that of other households rose 38 percent. Average income of households with children grew more slowly than those of the other two types of household during the 1980's but rose faster in the 1990's to make up some of the difference. The more rapid income growth for elderly households raised their average income from 73 percent of that for all households in 1979 to 81 percent in 2000.

The three types of household face quite different effective tax rates, largely because their incomes derive from sources that are taxed differentially but also because the tax code treats them differently. The elderly get more of their income in kind-principally from Medi-care-and a large part of their Social Security benefits are not subject to income tax. Furthermore, because relatively little of their income comes from earnings, payroll taxes claim a smaller share of their income than is the case for households getting more income from work. At the same time, households with children are generally larger than other households and qualify for more dependent exemptions that serve to lower their income tax liabilities. As a result of those differences, elderly households face a lower than average effective Federal tax rate, and nonelderly childless households incur a higher than average rate (see Table 8). The effective tax rate for households with children was close to that for all households throughout the past two decades. Over that period, effective tax rates rose for both households with children and other nonelderly households but declined for the elderly.

Differential tax rates served to narrow the gap between incomes of the elderly and other households, and that effect grew over the past two decades (see Table 9). Average after-tax income of elderly households increased 60 percent from $\$ 30,500$ in 1979 to $\$ 48,800$ in 2000. Over the same period, average after-tax income of households with children rose 40 percent from $\$ 47,000$ to $\$ 65,700$, and that for other nonelderly households climbed 36 percent from $\$ 39,500$ to $\$ 53,900$. The more rapid income growth for the elderly raised their average after-tax income from 75 percent of that for all households in 1979 to 86 percent in 2000.

## - Caveats

The preceding analysis should be viewed with caution for many reasons. First, the study compares income groups over time, showing how incomes have changed for each quintile. The composition of each quintile changes, however, from year to year. Over time, people join and leave households, enter and leave the labor force, and experience other changes that can alter their positions in the income distribution. Trends in tax rates and income that are discussed here reflect what
has happened to people in the same parts of the distribution over time, not what has happened to the same people.

Second, expanding the income measure for calculating effective tax rates to include taxes paid by businesses, employee contributions to 401(k) plans, and inkind benefits makes that measure larger than what many people think of when they consider their own incomes. As a result, it may be difficult for readers to determine their own placement within the reported distributions. Third, adjusting income for the size of households in order to rank them substantially reorders those units throughout the income distribution. Consequently, total household income can vary markedly among households of differing size, even though they are closely ranked in the distribution. ${ }^{8}$

Fourth, any choice of a period over which to assess changes in effective tax rates or incomes is arbitrary. Many of the comparisons made in this paper compare incomes in 1979 against those in 2000. Changes over other periods may show markedly different patterns. For example, between 1979 and 2000, average household income rose 42 percent. But it also rose 42 percent between 1983 and 2000, having lost and recovered 4 percent of its value between 1979 and 1983. What period is most appropriate depends on the question posed. The tables provide measures of income for every year of the 1979-2000 period and thus allow an evaluation of changes between any pair of years.

Finally, the study looks only at annual income and taxes. A better indication of the well-being of households at different points in the income distribution would cover a longer period-ideally, each person's lifetime. That kind of time frame would remove the effects of year-to-year variations and avoid the problem that information about a single year might differ markedly from average values for longer periods. For example, households realize capital gains irregularly over time, some years having large gains and other years having none. The annual income measures used in this paper count gains in the year they are realized, even though they may represent accumulations of wealth over many years. Using a lifetime measure of income would avoid that shortcoming and yield a flatter income distribution than the one shown here.

## Footnotes

1 The views expressed in this paper are those of the author and should not be interpreted as those of the Congressional Budget Office.

2 That breakdown reveals the effects of the disproportionately rapid growth of income that has occurred over the past two decades at the top end of the distribution. The analysis does not show a comparable subdivision of the lowest quintile because income moved in similar ways for households in different parts of that income group.

3 Available data do not allow the counting of accrued gains, thus precluding that alternative measure of income.

4 Other Federal taxes, representing about 5 percent of Federal revenue collections, are not counted. The omitted taxes include estate and gift taxes, customs duties, and other miscellaneous revenues.

5 See Chapter 2 of Congressional Budget Office, Effective Federal Tax Rates: 1979-1997 (Oc-
tober 2001) for further discussion of methodological questions concerning the appropriate unit of observation and measure of income.

6 Previous work has compared the income measure used in this paper with alternatives to assess the effects of the choice of measure. See ibid.

7 It is important to remember that households in a given income category in one year are not the same as those in that category in another year. Households move up and down the distribution over time, faring better or worse than average. At the same time, changes in income for quintiles or other parts of the income distribution do indicate how income gains are shared among households.

Statistics based on household cash income that omits in-kind income and is unadjusted for household size may provide information that is more consistent with how most people think about their own tax and income situations. This paper does not examine such a measure. For information on unadjusted cash incomes, see Congressional Budget Office, op. cit.

Table 1. Total Pretax Household Income by Quintile, All Households, 1979-2000 (in 2000 dollars)

| Year | Lowest <br> Quintile | Second <br> Quintile | Middle Quintile | Fourth Quintile | Highest Quintile |  | 80th-90th <br> Percentile | 90th-95th <br> Percentile | 95th-99th <br> Percentile | Top 1 <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 13,700 | 29,800 | 44,700 | 60,500 | 115,800 | 52,300 | 78,600 | 96,800 | 142,400 | 454,200 |
| 1980 | 13,200 | 28,600 | 43,300 | 58,700 | 112,100 | 50,700 | 76,500 | 95,300 | 136,900 | 428,400 |
| 1981 | 12,900 | 28,400 | 42,700 | 59,000 | 111,400 | 50,600 | 76,400 | 95,000 | 134,800 | 425,900 |
| 1982 | 12,500 | 27,900 | 42,200 | 58,700 | 112,400 | 50,700 | 76,400 | 95,200 | 135,300 | 447,800 |
| 1983 | 12,100 | 26,700 | 41,200 | 58,100 | 115,400 | 50,800 | 76,500 | 96,600 | 138,400 | 487,400 |
| 1984 | 12,500 | 28,300 | 43,000 | 60,600 | 123,600 | 53,100 | 80,200 | 102,400 | 148,400 | 537,100 |
| 1985 | 12,600 | 28,400 | 43,800 | 61,200 | 127,000 | 54,500 | 81,100 | 103,800 | 151,500 | 577,400 |
| 1986 | 12,600 | 29,100 | 44,800 | 63,500 | 143,300 | 58,500 | 85,700 | 109,500 | 166,300 | 751,500 |
| 1987 | 12,400 | 28,000 | 44,500 | 63,600 | 134,900 | 56,500 | 86,100 | 111,000 | 164,500 | 607,200 |
| 1988 | 12,700 | 28,600 | 45,200 | 64,300 | 145,200 | 59,000 | 88,100 | 112,800 | 170,500 | 765,000 |
| 1989 | 13,100 | 29,100 | 45,700 | 65,100 | 144,400 | 59,500 | 88,800 | 115,200 | 174,100 | 712,100 |
| 1990 | 13,500 | 29,900 | 45,500 | 64,300 | 140,300 | 58,800 | 87,400 | 112,400 | 168,400 | 683,400 |
| 1991 | 13,500 | 29,400 | 44,800 | 63,600 | 135,300 | 57,300 | 86,100 | 111,000 | 164,600 | 615,900 |
| 1992 | 13,200 | 29,200 | 45,100 | 64,200 | 141,300 | 58,900 | 87,100 | 112,800 | 171,600 | 698,600 |
| 1993 | 13,500 | 29,400 | 45,300 | 64,700 | 141,300 | 59,100 | 88,200 | 114,000 | 171,000 | 671,000 |
| 1994 | 13,400 | 29,600 | 45,700 | 65,900 | 144,200 | 59,800 | 89,400 | 116,000 | 175,500 | 692,100 |
| 1995 | 14,300 | 31,200 | 47,500 | 67,500 | 155,600 | 63,200 | 93,600 | 121,200 | 191,800 | 783,800 |
| 1996 | 13,900 | 30,900 | 47,500 | 68,000 | 158,500 | 64,100 | 93,400 | 122,800 | 190,700 | 841,000 |
| 1997 | 14,200 | 31,600 | 48,300 | 69,300 | 168,100 | 66,700 | 95,600 | 126,500 | 202,600 | 964,600 |
| 1998 | 14,900 | 33,000 | 49,500 | 72,000 | 178,300 | 69,900 | 99,000 | 131,400 | 213,400 | 1,083,300 |
| 1999 | 15,300 | 33,900 | 50,500 | 73,800 | 189,100 | 73,000 | 102,300 | 136,300 | 222,400 | 1,181,000 |
| 2000 | 14,600 | 33,300 | 50,300 | 74,500 | 196,900 | 74,200 | 103,700 | 139,000 | 227,800 | 1,295,300 |

Source: Congressional Budget Office tabulations of data from the 1979-2000 Statistics of Income (Intemal Revenue Service) and from the March 1980-March 2001 Current Population Survey (Bureau of the Census).

NOTE: See text for discussion of methodology used to combine data bases.

Table 2. Pretax Household Income Relative to 1979 by Quintile, All Households, 1979-2000 (in percent of 1979 income)

| Year | Lowest <br> Quintile | Second <br> Quintile | Middle <br> Quintile | Fourth Quintile | Highest Quintile |  | 80th-90th Percentile | 90th-95th <br> Percentile | 95th-99th <br> Percentile | Top 1 Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1980 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 96 | 94 |
| 1981 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 98 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 95 | 94 |
| 1982 | 91 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 95 | 99 |
| 1983 | 88 | 90 | 92 | 96 | 100 | 97 | 97 | 100 | 97 | 107 |
| 1984 | 91 | 95 | 96 | 100 | 107 | 102 | 102 | 106 | 104 | 118 |
| 1985 | 92 | 95 | 98 | 101 | 110 | 104 | 103 | 107 | 106 | 127 |
| 1986 | 92 | 98 | 100 | 105 | 124 | 112 | 109 | 113 | 117 | 165 |
| 1987 | 91 | 94 | 100 | 105 | 116 | 108 | 110 | 115 | 116 | 134 |
| 1988 | 93 | 96 | 101 | 106 | 125 | 113 | 112 | 117 | 120 | 168 |
| 1989 | 96 | 98 | 102 | 108 | 125 | 114 | 113 | 119 | 122 | 157 |
| 1990 | 99 | 100 | 102 | 106 | 121 | 112 | 111 | 116 | 118 | 150 |
| 1991 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 105 | 117 | 110 | 110 | 115 | 116 | 136 |
| 1992 | 96 | 98 | 101 | 106 | 122 | 113 | 111 | 117 | 121 | 154 |
| 1993 | 99 | 99 | 101 | 107 | 122 | 113 | 112 | 118 | 120 | 148 |
| 1994 | 98 | 99 | 102 | 109 | 125 | 114 | 114 | 120 | 123 | 152 |
| 1995 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 112 | 134 | 121 | 119 | 125 | 135 | 173 |
| 1996 | 101 | 104 | 106 | 112 | 137 | 123 | 119 | 127 | 134 | 185 |
| 1997 | 104 | 106 | 108 | 115 | 145 | 128 | 122 | 131 | 142 | 212 |
| 1998 | 109 | 111 | 111 | 119 | 154 | 134 | 126 | 136 | 150 | 239 |
| 1999 | 112 | 114 | 113 | 122 | 163 | 140 | 130 | 141 | 156 | 260 |
| 2000 | 107 | 112 | 113 | 123 | 170 | 142. | 132 | 144 | 160 | 285 |

Source: Congressional Budget Office tabulations of data from the 1979-2000 Statistics of Income (Internal Revenue Service) and from the March 1980-March 2001 Current Population Survey (Bureau of the Census).

NOTE: See text for discussion of methodology used to combine data bases.

Table 3. Total After-Tax Household Income by Quintile, All Households, 1979-2000 (in 2000 dollars)

| Year | Lowest <br> Quintile | Second Quintile | Middle <br> Quintile | Fourth Quintile | Highest <br> Quintile | All Quintiles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80th-90th } \\ & \text { Percentile } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90th-95th } \\ & \text { Percentile } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95th-99th } \\ & \text { Percentile } \end{aligned}$ | Top 1 Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 12,600 | 25,600 | 36,400 | 47,700 | 84,000 | 40,700 | 60,400 | 72,700 | 103,000 | 286,300 |
| 1980 | 12,200 | 24,600 | 35,200 | 46,100 | 81,500 | 39,400 | 58,300 | 71,100 | 98,900 | 280,300 |
| 1981 | 11,900 | 24,200 | 34,500 | 46,000 | 81,500 | 39,200 | 58,000 | 70,400 | 97,700 | 290,400 |
| 1982 | 11,500 | 24,100 | 34,700 | 46,700 | 85,200 | 40,200 | 59,200 | 72,800 | 102,200 | 326,200 |
| 1983 | 11,000 | 23,000 | 34,000 | 46,400 | 87,900 | 40,400 | 59,800 | 74,400 | 105,600 | 352,200 |
| 1984 | 11,200 | 24,100 | 35,300 | 48,200 | 93,600 | 42,000 | 62,400 | 78,600 | 112,600 | 385,500 |
| 1985 | 11,400 | 24,200 | 35,800 | 48,700 | 96,500 | 43,100 | 62,900 | 79,700 | 115,400 | 421,500 |
| 1986 | 11,400 | 24,800 | 36,800 | 50,500 | 109,200 | 46,300 | 66,300 | 83,900 | 127,000 | 559,900 |
| 1987 | 11,300 | 24,100 | 36,700 | 50,800 | 100,100 | 44,300 | 66,600 | 84,100 | 121,700 | 417,800 |
| 1988 | 11,600 | 24,500 | 37,100 | 51,000 | 108,000 | 46,100 | 67,700 | 85,800 | 126,900 | 537,900 |
| 1989 | 12,100 | 25,100 | 37,500 | 51,800 | 108,000 | 46,700 | 68,600 | 87,400 | 129,800 | 506,500 |
| 1990 | 12,300 | 25,500 | 37,400 | 51,000 | 105,000 | 46,200 | 67,400 | 85,400 | 125,800 | 486,800 |
| 1991 | 12,300 | 25,200 | 36,900 | 50,500 | 101,000 | 45,000 | 66,500 | 84,400 | 122,500 | 431,900 |
| 1992 | 12,100 | 25,200 | 37,200 | 51,200 | 105,100 | 46,200 | 67,400 | 85,700 | 127,600 | 484,900 |
| 1993 | 12,400 | 25,400 | 37,500 | 51,600 | 103,500 | 46,000 | 68,300 | 86,400 | 125,400 | 439,800 |
| 1994 | 12,600 | 25,700 | 37,800 | 52,400 | 104,700 | 46,500 | 69,000 | 87,400 | 128,100 | 444,500 |
| 1995 | 13,400 | 27,100 | 39,300 | 53,800 | 113,500 | 49,200 | 72,200 | 91,400 | 140,800 | 515,200 |
| 1996 | 13,100 | 26,800 | 39,300 | 54,200 | 114,100 | 49,500 | 71,900 | 92,100 | 137,800 | 538,200 |
| 1997 | 13,400 | 27,300 | 39,900 | 55,100 | 121,000 | 51,400 | 73,300 | 94,900 | 146,300 | 627,700 |
| 1998 | 14,000 | 28,700 | 41,200 | 57,300 | 129,100 | 54,100 | 76,200 | 98,600 | 154,500 | 721,100 |
| 1999 | 14,400 | 29,300 | 42,000 | 58,700 | 136,000 | 56,200 | 78,400 | 101,700 | 159,700 | 783,600 |
| 2000 | 13,700 | 29,000 | 41,900 | 59,200 | 141,400 | 57,000 | 79,500 | 103,600 | 163,200 | 862,400 |

Source: Congressional Budget Office tabulations of data from the 1979-2000 Statistics of Income (Internal Revenue Service) and from the March 1980 March 2001 Current Population Survey (Bureau of the Census).

NOTE: See text for discussion of methodology used to combine data bases.

Table 4. After-Tax Household Income Relative to 1979 by Quintile, All Households, 1979-2000 (in percent of 1979 income)

| Year | Lowest <br> Quintile | Second Quintile | Middle Quintile | Fourth Quintile | Highest Quintile | All Quintiles | 80th-90th Percentile | 90th-95th <br> Percentile | 95th-99th <br> Percentile | Top 1 <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1980 | 97 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 96 | 98 |
| 1981 | 94 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 95 | 101 |
| 1982 | 91 | 94 | 95 | 98 | 101 | 99 | 98 | 100 | 99 | 114 |
| 1983 | 87 | 90 | 93 | 97 | 105 | 99 | 99 | 102 | 103 | 123 |
| 1984 | 89 | 94 | 97 | 101 | 111 | 103 | 103 | 108 | 109 | 135 |
| 1985 | 90 | 95 | 98 | 102 | 115 | 106 | 104 | 110 | 112 | 147 |
| 1986 | 90 | 97 | 101 | 106 | 130 | 114 | 110 | 115 | 123 | 196 |
| 1987 | 90 | 94 | 101 | 106 | 119 | 109 | 110 | 116 | 118 | 146 |
| 1988 | 92 | 96 | 102 | 107 | 129 | 113 | 112 | 118 | 123 | 188 |
| 1989 | 96 | 98 | 103 | 109 | 129 | 115 | 114 | 120 | 126 | 177 |
| 1990 | 98 | 100 | 103 | 107 | 125 | 114 | 112 | 117 | 122 | 170 |
| 1991 | 98 | 98 | 101 | 106 | 120 | 111 | 110 | 116 | 119 | 151 |
| 1992 | 96 | 98 | 102 | 107 | 125 | 114 | 112 | 118 | 124 | 169 |
| 1993 | 98 | 99 | 103 | 108 | 123 | 113 | 113 | 119 | 122 | 154 |
| 1994 | 100 | 100 | 104 | 110 | 125 | 114 | 114 | 120 | 124 | 155 |
| 1995 | 106 | 106 | 108 | 113 | 135 | 121 | 120 | 126 | 137 | 180 |
| 1996 | 104 | 105 | 108 | 114 | 136 | 122 | 119 | 127 | 134 | 188 |
| 1997 | 106 | 107 | 110 | 116 | 144 | 126 | 121 | 131 | 142 | 219 |
| 1998 | 111 | 112 | 113 | 120 | 154 | 133 | 126 | 136 | 150 | 252 |
| 1999 | 114 | 114 | 115 | 123 | 162 | 138 | 130 | 140 | 155 | 274 |
| 2000 | 109 | 113 | 115 | 124 | 168 | 140 | 132 | 143 | 158 | 301 |

Source: Congressional Budget Office tabulations of data from the 1979-2000 Statistics of Income (Internal Revenue Service) and from the March 1980-March 2001 Current Population Survey (Bureau of the Census).

NOTE: See text for discussion of methodology used to combine data bases.

Table 5. Effective Federal Tax Rates by Quintile, All Households, 1979-2000 (in percent)

| Year |  | Lowest Quintile | Second Quintile | Middle Quintile | Fourth Quintile | Highest Quintile | All <br> Quintiles | 80th-90th Percentile | 90th-95th Percentile | 95th-99th <br> Percentile | Top 1 Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 |  | 8.0 | 14.1 | 18.6 | 21.2 | 27.5 | 22.2 | 23.1 | 24.9 | 27.7 | 37.0 |
| 1980 |  | 7.6 | 14.0 | 18.7 | 21.5 | 27.3 | 22.3 | 23.8 | 25.4 | 27.8 | 34.6 |
| 1981 |  | 7.8 | 14.8 | 19.2 | 22.0 | 26.8 | 22.5 | 24.0 | 25.9 | 27.5 | 31.8 |
| 1982 |  | 8.0 | 13.6 | 17.8 | 20.4 | 24.2 | 20.7 | 22.6 | 23.5 | 24.5 | 27.2 |
| 1983 |  | 9.1 | 13.9 | 17.5 | 20.1 | 23.8 | 20.5 | 21.8 | 23.0 | 23.7 | 27.7 |
| 1984 |  | 10.4 | 14.8 | 17.9 | 20.5 | 24.3 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 23.3 | 24.1 | 28.2 |
| 1985 |  | 9.5 | 14.8 | 18.3 | 20.4 | 24.0 | 20.9 | 22.4 | 23.2 | 23.8 | 27.0 |
| 1986 |  | 9.5 | 14.8 | 17.9 | 20.5 | 23.8 | 20.9 | 22.6 | 23.3 | 23.6 | 25.5 |
| 1987 |  | 8.9 | 13.9 | 17.5 | 20.1 | 25.8 | 21.6 | 22.7 | 24.3 | 26.0 | 31.2 |
| 1988 |  | 8.7 | 14.3 | 17.9 | 20.7 | 25.6 | 21.9 | 23.2 | 24.0 | 25.6 | 29.7 |
| 1989 |  | 7.6 | 13.7 | 17.9 | 20.4 | 25.2 | 21.5 | 22.7 | 24.1 | 25.4 | 28.9 |
| 1990 |  | 8.9 | 14.7 | 17.8 | 20.7 | 25.2 | 21.4 | 22.9 | 24.0 | 25.3 | 28.8 |
| 1991 |  | 8.9 | 14.3 | 17.6 | 20.6 | 25.4 | 21.5 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 25.6 | 29.9 |
| 1992 |  | 8.3 | 13.7 | 17.5 | 20.2 | 25.6 | 21.6 | 22.6 | 24.0 | 25.6 | 30.6 |
| 1993 |  | 8.1 | 13.6 | 17.2 | 20.2 | 26.8 | 22.2 | 22.5 | 24.2 | 26.6 | 34.5 |
| 1994 |  | 6.0 | 13.2 | 17.3 | 20.5 | 27.4 | 22.2 | 22.9 | 24.7 | 27.0 | 35.8 |
| 1995 |  | 6.3 | 13.1 | 17.3 | 20.3 | 27.1 | 22.2 | 22.9 | 24.6 | 26.6 | 34.3 |
| 1996 |  | 5.8 | 13.3 | 17.3 | 20.3 | 28.0 | 22.8 | 22.9 | 24.9 | 27.7 | 36.0 |
| 1997 |  | 5.6 | 13.6 | 17.4 | 20.5 | 28.0 | 22.9 | 23.3 | 25.0 | 27.8 | 34.9 |
| 1998 |  | 6.0 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 20.4 | 27.6 | 22.6 | 23.1 | 25.0 | 27.6 | 33.4 |
| 1999 |  | 5.9 | 13.6 | 16.8 | 20.5 | 28.1 | 23.0 | 23.3 | 25.4 | 28.2 | 33.6 |
| 2000 | 2 | 6.2 | 12.9 | 16.7 | 20.5 | 28.2 | 23.2 | 23.4 | 25.4 | 28.3 | 33.4 |

Source: Congressional Budget Office tabulations of data from the 1979-2000 Statistics of Income (Internal Revenue Service) and from the March 1980-March 2001 Current Population Survey (Bureau of the Census).

NOTE: Effective tax rates include individual and corporate income taxes, payroll taxes, and excise taxes. They omit estate and gift taxes, customs duties, and other miscellaneous collections; revenues from those sources total about 5 percent of all Federal revenues.

Table 6. Shares of Pretax and After-Tax Household Income by Quintile, All Households, 1979-2000 (in percent)

| Year | Shares of Pretax Income |  |  |  |  | Shares of After-Tax Income |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lowest Quintile | Second Quintile | Middle Quintile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fourth } \\ & \text { Quintile } \end{aligned}$ | Highest Quintile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lowest } \\ & \text { Quintile } \end{aligned}$ | Second Quintile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Middle } \\ & \text { Quintile } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fourth } \\ & \text { Quintile } \end{aligned}$ | Highest Quintile |
| 1979 | 5.8 | 11.1 | 15.8 | 22.0 | 45.4 | 6.8 | 12.2 | 16.5 | 22.2 | 42.3 |
| 1980 | 5.7 | 10.9 | 15.7 | 22.0 | 45.7 | 6.7 | 12.1 | 16.4 | 22.2 | 42.6 |
| 1981 | 5.4 | 10.9 | 15.8 | 22.1 | 45.8 | 6.4 | 11.9 | 16.4 | 22.2 | 43.1 |
| 1982 | 5.1 | 10.6 | 15.7 | 22.1 | 46.5 | 5.9 | 11.5 | 16.2 | 22.1 | 44.3 |
| 1983 | 4.8 | 10.2 | 15.4 | 22.1 | 47.5 | 5.5 | 11.1 | 15.9 | 22.1 | 45.4 |
| 1984 | 5.0 | 10.2 | 15.3 | 21.9 | 47.7 | 5.6 | 11.0 | 15.8 | 22.0 | 45.6 |
| 1985 | 4.8 | 10.1 | 15.1 | 21.7 | 48.3 | 5.4 | 10.8 | 15.6 | 21.8 | 46.3 |
| 1986 | 4.5 | 9.5 | 14.6 | 21.1 | 50.3 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 15.2 | 21.1 | 48.3 |
| 1987 | 4.3 | 9.9 | 15.2 | 22.0 | 48.6 | 5.0 | 10.8 | 16.0 | 22.3 | 45.9 |
| 1988 | 4.2 | 9.7 | 14.7 | 21.4 | 49.9 | 4.9 | 10.6 | 15.4 | 21.7 | 47.4 |
| 1989 | 4.3 | 9.7 | 14.9 | 21.4 | 49.6 | 5.0 | 10.7 | 15.6 | 21.7 | 47.1 |
| 1990 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 15.0 | 21.5 | 49.2 | 5.2 | 10.7 | 15.6 | 21.6 | 46.8 |
| 1991 | 4.7 | 9.9 | 15.3 | 21.6 | 48.5 | 5.4 | 10.7 | 16.0 | 21.8 | 46.0 |
| 1992 | 4.4 | 9.7 | 14.9 | 21.4 | 49.6 | 5.1 | 10.6 | 15.7 | 21.7 | 46.9 |
| 1993 | 4.5 | 9.7 | 14.9 | 21.4 | 49.4 | 5.2 | 10.8 | 15.8 | 21.9 | 46.3 |
| 1994 | 4.4 | 9.7 | 15.1 | 21.5 | 49.4 | 5.3 | 10.8 | 16.0 | 21.9 | 46.0 |
| 1995 | 4.5 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 21.0 | 50.2 | 5.4 | 10.7 | 15.5 | 21.4 | 46.9 |
| 1996 | 4.3 | 9.4 | 14.4 | 20.8 | 51.1 | 5.2 | 10.5 | 15.4 | 21.4 | 47.5 |
| 1997 | 4.2 | 9.1 | 14.2 | 20.3 | 52.2 | 5.2 | 10.2 | 15.1 | 20.9 | 48.6 |
| 1998 | 4.3 | 8.9 | 14.0 | 20.1 | 52.7 | 5.2 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 20.6 | 49.2 |
| 1999 | 4.2 | 8.8 | 13.7 | 19.8 | 53.5 | 5.1 | 9.9 | 14.8 | 20.4 | 49.8 |
| 2000 | 4.0 | 8.6 | 13.4 | 19.5 | 54.6 | 4.9 | 9.7 | 14.5 | 20.1 | 50.9 |

Source: Congressional Budget Office tabulations of data from the 1979-2000 Statistics of Income (Internal Revenue Service) and from the March 1980-March 2001 Current Population Survey (Bureau of the Census).

NOTE: See text for discussion of methodology used to combine data bases.

Table 7. Average Pretax Household Income by Quintile and Household Type, 1979-2000 (in 2000 dollars)

|  | Average Pretax Household Income |  |  |  | Pretax Income Relative to 1979 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | All | With <br> Children | Elderly Childless | Nonelderly Childless | All | With Children | Elderly Childless | Nonelderly Childless |
| 1979 | 52,300 | 59,500 | 38,200 | 52,200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1980 | 50,700 | 57,000 | 37,900 | 50,900 | 97 | 96 | 99 | 98 |
| 1981 | 50,600 | 56,500 | 39,100 | 50,600 | 97 | 95 | 102 | 97 |
| 1982 | 50,700 | 55,500 | 40,600 | 51,200 | 97 | 93 | 106 | 98 |
| 1983 | 50,800 | 55,600 | 41,300 | 51,100 | 97 | 93 | 108 | 98 |
| 1984 | 53,100 | 58,900 | 43,600 | 52,500 | 102 | 99 | 114 | 101 |
| 1985 | 54,500 | 59,200 | 45,100 | 54,900 | 104 | 99 | 118 | 105 |
| 1986 | 58,500 | 64,000 | 48,800 | 58,300 | 112 | 108 | 128 | 112 |
| 1987 | 56,500 | 61,700 | 44,700 | 57,800 | 108 | 104 | 117 | 111 |
| 1988 | 59,000 | 63,300 | 46,400 | 61,500 | 113 | 106 | 121 | 118 |
| 1989 | 59,500 | 65,000 | 47,100 | 61,000 | 114 | 109 | 123 | 117 |
| 1990 | 58,800 | 63,700 | 46,100 | 61,200 | 112 | 107 | 121 | 117 |
| 1991 | 57,300 | 62,800 | 44,100 | 59,300 | 110 | 106 | 115 | 114 |
| 1992 | 58,900 | 63,800 | 45,700 | 61,100 | 113 | 107 | 120 | 117 |
| 1993 | 59,100 | 65,400 | 45,700 | 60,100 | 113 | 110 | 120 | 115 |
| 1994 | 59,800 | 66,100 | 46,400 | 61,200 | 114 | 111 | 121 | 117 |
| 1995 | 63,200 | 70,900 | 50,400 | 62,900 | 121 | 119 | 132 | 120 |
| 1996 | 64,100 | 70,400 | 53,300 | 63,900 | 123 | 118 | 140 | 122 |
| 1997 | 66,700 | 73,400 | 56,100 | 66,100 | 128 | 123 | 147 | 127 |
| 1998 | 69,900 | 77,800 | 59,000 | 68,500 | 134 | 131 | 154 | 131 |
| 1999 | 73,000 | 82,100 | 60,900 | 71,200 | 140 | 138 | 159 | 136 |
| 2000 | 74,200 | 85,300 | 60,100 | 71,900 | 142 | 143 | 157 | 138 |

Source: Congressional Budget Office tabulations of data from the 1979-2000 Statistics of Income (Internal Revenue Service) and from the March 1980-March 2001 Current Population Survey (Bureau of the Census).

NOTE: See text for discussion of methodology used to combine data bases.

Table 8. Effective Federal Tax Rates by Type of Househoid, 1979-2000 (in percent)

| Year |  | All | With <br> Children | Elderly <br> Childless | Nonelderly <br> Childless |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 |  | 22.2 | 21.0 | 20.2 | 24.3 |
| 1980 |  | 22.3 | 21.4 | 19.0 | 24.4 |
| 1981 |  | 22.5 | 21.9 | 17.6 | 24.7 |
| 1982 |  | 20.7 | 20.5 | 15.0 | 22.9 |
| 1983 |  | 20.5 | 20.5 | 15.5 | 22.3 |
| 1984 |  | 20.9 | 20.9 | 16.5 | 22.7 |
| 1985 |  | 20.9 | 20.8 | 16.4 | 23.0 |
| 1986 |  | 20.9 | 21.1 | 16.0 | 22.8 |
| 1987 |  | 21.6 | 21.4 | 17.0 | 23.7 |
| 1988 |  | 21.9 | 21.3 | 17.2 | 23.9 |
| 1989 |  | 21.5 | 21.4 | 17.0 | 23.4 |
| 1990 |  | 21.4 | 21.4 | 16.5 | 23.7 |
| 1991 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 15.6 | 23.8 |  |
| 1992 | 21.6 | 21.2 | 16.2 | 23.9 |  |
| 1993 | 22.2 | 21.9 | 16.8 | 24.1 |  |
| 1994 | 22.2 | 21.9 | 17.5 | 24.7 |  |
| 1995 | 22.2 | 22.0 | 17.5 | 24.2 |  |
| 1996 | 22.8 | 22.2 | 19.1 | 24.7 |  |
| 1997 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 18.9 | 25.0 |  |
| 1998 | 22.6 | 22.0 | 18.5 | 24.7 |  |
| 1999 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 19.0 | 25.0 |  |
| 2000 | 23.2 | 23.0 | 18.8 | 25.0 |  |

Source: Congressional Budget Office tabulations of data from the 1979-2000 Statistics of Income (Internal Revenue Service) and from the March 1980-March 2001 Current Population Survey (Bureau of the Census).

NOTE: Effective tax rates include individual and corporate income taxes, payroll taxes, and excise taxes. They omit estate and gift taxes, customs duties, and other miscellaneous collections; revenues from those sources total about 5 percent of all Federal revenues.

Table 9. Average After-Tax Hopusehold Income by Quintile and Household Type, 1979-2000 (in 2000 dollars)

|  | Average Pretax Household Income |  |  |  | Pretax Income Relative to 1979 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | All | With <br> Children | Elderly Childless | Nonelderly Childless | All | With <br> Children | Elderly Childless | Nonelderly Childless |
| 1979 | 40,700 | 47,000 | 30,500 | 39,500 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1980 | 39,400 | 44,800 | 30,700 | 38,500 | 97 | 95 | 101 | 97 |
| 1981 | 39,200 | 44,100 | 32,200 | 38,100 | 96 | 94 | 106 | 96 |
| 1982 | 40,200 | 44,100 | 34,500 | 39,500 | 99 | 94 | 113 | 100 |
| 1983 | 40,400 | 44,200 | 34,900 | 39,700 | 99 | 94 | 114 | 101 |
| 1984 | 42,000 | 46,600 | 36,400 | 40,600 | 103 | 99 | 119 | 103 |
| 1985 | 43,100 | 46,900 | 37,700 | 42,300 | 106 | 100 | 124 | 107 |
| 1986 | 46,300 | 50,500 | 41,000 | 45,000 | 114 | 107 | 134 | 114 |
| 1987 | 44,300 | 48,500 | 37,100 | 44,100 | 109 | 103 | 122 | 112 |
| 1988 | 46,100 | 49,800 | 38,400 | 46,800 | 113 | 106 | 126 | 118 |
| 1989 | 46,700 | 51,100 | 39,100 | 46,700 | 115 | 109 | 128 | 118 |
| 1990 | 46,200 | 50,100 | 38,500 | 46,700 | 114 | 107 | 126 | 118 |
| 1991 | 45,000 | 49,300 | 37,200 | 45,200 | 111 | 105 | 122 | 114 |
| 1992 | 46,200 | 50,300 | 38,300 | 46,500 | 114 | 107 | 126 | 118 |
| 1993 | 46,000 | 51,100 | 38,000 | 45,600 | 113 | 109 | 125 | 115 |
| 1994 | 46,500 | 51,600 | 38,300 | 46,100 | 114 | 110 | 126 | 117 |
| 1995 | 49,200 | 55,300 | 41,600 | 47,700 | 121 | 118 | 136 | 121 |
| 1996 | 49,500 | 54,800 | 43,100 | 48,100 | 122 | 117 | 141 | 122 |
| 1997 | 51,400 | 56,900 | 45,500 | 49,600 | 126 | 121 | 149 | 126 |
| 1998 | 54,100 | 60,700 | 48,100 | 51,600 | 133 | 129 | 158 | 131 |
| 1999 | 56,200 | 63,600 | 49,300 | 53,400 | 138 | 135 | 162 | 135 |
| 2000 | 57,000 | 65,700 | 48,800 | 53,900 | 140 | 140 | 160 | 136 |

Source: Congressional Budget Office tabulations of data from the 1979-2000 Statistics of Income (Internal Revenue Service) and from the March 1980-March 2001 Current Population Survey (Bureau of the Census).

NOTE: See text for discussion of methodology used to combine data bases.

