Creating a Synthetic Public Use File and Validation Server

Progress Report

September 21, 2018 Len Burman, Surachai Khitatrakun, James R. Nunns, Philip Stallworth, Kyle Ueyama





- Produce synthetic data file with the same record layout as IRS Administrative Data that:
 - Protects the confidentiality of tax return information
 - May be used for statistically valid analysis for certain research purposes
 - May be used as a "training data set" to develop programs to run on confidential data
- Develop a safe procedure for selected researchers to remotely submit programs to perform statistical analysis on administrative data when the synthetic files are inadequate

Propose to create a fully synthetic dataset



- In a fully synthetic dataset, all of the data are synthesized
 - If there are k variables, $Y_1, ..., Y_k$, create synthetic \widehat{Y}_1 drawn from empirical distribution of Y_1 ; \widehat{Y}_2 conditional on \widehat{Y}_1 and empirical distribution of ε_2 ; and so on until \widehat{Y}_k is synthesized based on $\widehat{Y}_1, ..., \widehat{Y}_{k-1}$
- Minimizes disclosure risk since all data are synthesized
- Concerns about data quality
 - Very little experience with fully synthetic datasets
 - Never been tried on a dataset this large



- High-quality nonfiling data are necessary for policy simulations
- Working plan is to synthesize individuals, then create tax units
- Construct a set of individual nonfilers using information returns and SSA information for people who do not file a tax return
- Challenge #1: Identify the relevant set of nonfiling individuals
 - Exclude foreigners and residents of U.S. Possessions, people who died before filing year
 - Create records for people without information returns
- Challenge #2: Creating nonfiling tax units from individual records
 - Marry some individuals and assign children to form tax units based on information from survey data



• What to do about people who apparently should have filed a tax return?

- How to determine filing requirements given data limitations (e.g. single vs. married filing jointly thresholds, significantly lower threshold for self-employed and small businesses)?
- RAS studies have found that they account for a significant share of nonfilers' tax liability (both income and payroll tax)
- Some might file late, some may be due to erroneous identification of "nonfilers" (e.g., incorrect SSN matching), and some might be identified in IRS enforcement activities
- Studies of census data matched to IRS data show them imperfect for identifying tax liability and filing status. Can we improve?



• Conducting a synthesis using the full RTF is preferable to one based upon the INSOLE from a privacy perspective.

• However, the RTF records would need to be cleaned as they are on the INSOLE in order to conduct a proper synthesis.

• Using the INSOLE and their underlying RTF records as a training set, we are beginning to develop a machine learning algorithm to infer the corrections made on the INSOLE file by human editors and apply those corrections to the entire RTF.



- Start with a cleaned version of the RTF (or an extract)
- Parametric estimation for some variables and nonparametric for others
- For example, CART for discrete variables such as number of children
- Regression for continuous variables (Tobit type estimators for censored variables, such as interest income)
 - Use a polynomial expansion on right-hand side variables to capture some nonlinear relationships between variables



- Because data are fully synthetic, there is no risk of disclosing actual values from tax returns
- However, process may disclose information about the distribution of variables
- Drawing from smoothed version of distribution, sampling, and regression-based method provide substantial protection from disclosure for most of sample
- Special treatment of the tails of distribution (outliers)
- Top coding discrete variables (e.g., number of children)
- Some variables might have to be suppressed or combined





How smoothing the empirical distribution and subsampling preserves privacy



95% confidence interval around uniform distribution function with 1 in 10 draw versus 1 in 1 draw (n=100)



Xmin

Special concern with kinky empirical distribution (multiple observations with same values)





- Nonzero chance of selecting multiple identical values
- Address by rounding or slightly blurring



 Procedure above could disclose information about extreme values (since no synthesized value could be greater than maximum)

- Further smooth the empirical distribution in tails
 - For example, draw from a distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 for largest 100 values
 - Maximum of this distribution can be greater than the population maximum
 - Intruder could only infer information about mean and variance (2 parameters) in tail rather than the 100 potentially identifiable observations
 - As the tail distribution becomes more skewed, the procedure becomes *less* revealing about the distribution of individual observations



- Researchers would develop their programs using the synthetic dataset and submit the programs electronically to the IRS
 - Output subjected to disclosure review before release to researcher
- Procedure would be similar to access to the confidential version of the SIPP
- Disclosure risk could be reduced by basing estimates on random subsamples of the restricted dataset (i.e., by drawing random samples with replacement from the full dataset)
 - Programs could include generated random seed so that a particular analysis could be replicated, but any new analysis would start with a different seed
- Costs defrayed by fees